arXiv:1107.1947v2 [math.DG] 8 Nov 2011

Thin instantons in G_2-manifolds and Seiberg-Witten invariants

Naichung Conan Leung, Xiaowei Wang and Ke Zhu

November 9, 2011

Abstract

For two nearby disjoint coassociative submanifolds C and C' in a G_2-manifold, we construct thin instantons with boundaries lying on C and C' from regular J-holomorphic curves in C. We explain their relationship with the Seiberg-Witten invariants for C.

1 Introduction

Intersection theory of Lagrangian submanifolds is an essential part of the symplectic geometry. By counting the number of holomorphic disks bounding intersecting Lagrangian submanifolds, Floer and others defined the celebrated Floer homology theory. It plays an important role in mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau manifolds and string theory in physics. In M-theory, Calabi-Yau threefolds are replaced by seven dimensional G_2-manifolds M (i.e. oriented Octonion manifolds [24]). The analog of holomorphic disks (resp. Lagrangian submanifolds) are instantons or associative submanifolds (resp. coassociative submanifolds or branes) in M [23]. In [16], the Fredholm theory for instantons with coassociative boundary conditions has been set up. However, existence of instantons is still a difficult problem. As a first step, we want to give a construction modeled on the work of Fukaya and Oh [9] in symplectic geometry. As it was shown in [9] that if we choose two nearby Lagrangian submanifolds in such a way that one is the graph of a closed one form on the other then the holomorphic disks bounding two is closely related to gradient flow lines of the one form. Searching for the analogue in G_2-geometry leads us to study the following problem.

Problem: Given two nearby coassociative submanifolds C and C' in a (almost) G_2-manifold M. Relate the number of instantons in M bounding $C \cup C'$ to the Seiberg-Witten invariants of C.

The basic idea is as follows: When the coassociative submanifold C' is sufficiently close to C, then it is the graph of a self-dual two form on C. This two form is essentially a symplectic form on C away from the intersection $C \cap C'$. Instantons bounding $C \cup C'$ would become *holomorphic curves* on C when C' collapses onto C modulo the possible *bubblings*. By the seminal work of Taubes [32] on the equivalence of Gromov-Witten=Seiberg-Witten, we expect that the number of such instantons is given by the Seiberg-Witten invariants of C.

Settling the above problem completely is very difficult at the current stage. We treat the special case when C and C' are disjoint, i.e. C is a symplectic four manifold in this paper. The basic tool is the gluing technique. But even in this simpler case, the set up is quite different from the Lagrangian Floer theory (c.f. Fukaya and Oh [9]). Our domains are three dimensional instead of two dimensional and we have to deform the submanifolds rather than deforming the maps as was done in Floer theory. This is because we do not have the luxury of applying the conformal geometry in dimension two to transform the problem of finding holomorphic curves into the one of finding holomorphic maps. Furthermore, the linear theory is more difficult in this case since we have to deal with a problem which lacks uniform ellipticity, as we will explain in Section 3.3. Since the G_2 form is cubic, the needed quadratic estimate of 3-dimensional instanton equation appears unavailable in L^p setting (see end of Section 4.2). So we set up the problem in Schauder setting, and in linear theory we need to go further from L^p estimates to Schauder estimates. This is different from [9].

As C' should be sufficiently close to C, we assume that they arise in an one-parameter smooth family of coassociative submanifolds C_t with small t. Contracting with the normal vector field $n := dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$ for the infinitesimal deformation with the G_2-form Ω, we obtain a closed self-dual two form $\iota_n \Omega \in \Omega^2_+(C_0)$. Using the induced metric, from n one can define an *almost complex structure* $J = J_n$ on C_0 away from the zero set of $\iota_n \Omega$ (see (2) for details).

Theorem 1 *Suppose that* (M, Ω) *is a* G_2*-manifold and* $\{C_t\}$ *is an one-parameter smooth family of coassociative submanifolds in* M*. When* $\iota_n \Omega \in \Omega^2_+(C_0)$ *is nonvanishing, then*

1. *(Proposition 6) If* $\{\mathtt{A}_t\}$ *is any one-parameter family of associative sub-*

manifolds (i.e. instantons) in M satisfying

$$\partial \mathtt{A}_t \subset C_t \cup C_0, \ \lim_{t\to 0} \mathtt{A}_t \cap C_0 = \Sigma_0 \text{ in } C^1\text{-topology,}$$

then Σ_0 is a J_n-holomorphic curve in C_0.

2. *(Theorem 22) Conversely, every regular J_n-holomorphic curve Σ_0 (namely the linearization of $\overline{\partial}_{J_n}$ on Σ_0 is surjective) in C_0 is the limit of a family of associative submanifolds A_t's as described above.*

Notice that in the product situation, where

$$(M, \Omega) := \left(X \times S^1, \operatorname{Re}\Omega_X + \omega_X \wedge d\theta\right)$$

(c.f. Section 2.2) with (X, Ω_X) being a Calabi-Yau threefold, then our theorem would follow from the work of [9].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we first recall some basics in Floer theory, next we describe their G_2-counterparts, then we explain the connection between instantons and Seiberg-Witten invariants, and last we study the deformation of instantons with aim to generalize to almost instantons. In Section 3, we first study operator $\mathcal{D}$ on a type of thin 3-manifolds, which is an linear approximation of the instanton equation, then we give the L^2 and Schauder estimates of its inverse $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$. In Section 4, we first compare the linearized instanton equation on almost instantons with the operator $\mathcal{D}$ on linear models, then we use implicit function theorem to perturbe almost instantons to true instantons, thus prove our main theorem.

Acknowledgments: The first author expresses his gratitude to J.H. Lee, Y.G. Oh, C. Taubes, R. Thomas and A. Voronov for useful discussions. The second author thanks S.L. Kong, G. Liu, Y.J. Lee, Y.G. Shi, L. Yin for useful discussions. The third author thanks the nice research environment in math departments of The Chinese University of Hong Kong and University of Minnesota, and useful discussion with T.J. Li. We thank the anonymous referee for pointing out many inaccuracies in our earlier versions and providing suggestions for improvement.

The work of the first author described in this paper was partially supported by grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. CUHK401908 and CUHK403709). The work of the second author described in this paper was partially supported by grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. CUHK403709). The third author was partially supported by RGC grant from the Hong Kong Government.

2 Instantons of Dimension 2 and 3

2.1 Review of Symplectic Geometry

Given any symplectic manifold (X,ω) of dimension $2n$, there exists a compatible metric g so that the equation

$$\omega\left(u,v\right)=g\left(Ju,v\right)$$

defines a Hermitian almost complex structure

$$J:T_X\rightarrow T_X,$$

that is $J^2=-id$ and $g\left(Ju,Jv\right)=g\left(u,v\right)$.

A **holomorphic curve**, or **instanton** of dimension 2, is a two dimensional submanifold Σ in X whose tangent bundle is preserved by J. Equivalently Σ is calibrated by ω, i.e. $\omega|_\Sigma=vol_\Sigma$. By **counting** the number of instantons in X, one can define a highly nontrivial invariant for the symplectic structure on X, called the Gromov-Witten invariant.

When the instanton Σ has nontrivial boundary, then the corresponding boundary value problem would require $\partial\Sigma$ to lie on a **Lagrangian submanifold** L in X, i.e. $\dim L=n$ and $\omega|_L=0$. Floer studied the intersection theory of Lagrangian submanifolds and defined the Floer homology group $HF\left(L,L'\right)$ under certain assumptions.

Suppose that X is a compact Calabi-Yau manifold, i.e. the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection is inside $SU\left(n\right)$, equivalently J is an integrable complex structure on X and there exists a holomorphic volume form $\Omega_X\in\Omega^{n,0}\left(X\right)$ on X satisfying $\left(-1\right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\left(i/2\right)^n\Omega_X\wedge\bar{\Omega}_X=\omega^n/n!$. Under the mirror symmetry transformation, $HF\left(L,L'\right)$ is expected to correspond to the Dolbeault cohomology group of coherent sheaves in the mirror Calabi-Yau manifold.

A Lagrangian submanifold L in X is called a **special Lagrangian submanifold** with phase zero (resp. $\pi/2$) if $\operatorname{Im}\Omega_X|_L=0$ (resp. $\operatorname{Re}\Omega_X|_L=0$). With suitable choice of orientation of L, L is calibrated by $\operatorname{Re}\Omega_X|_L$ (resp.$\operatorname{Im}\Omega_X|_L$), that is $\operatorname{Re}\Omega_X|_L$ is the volume form of L. They play important roles in the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow mirror conjecture for Calabi-Yau manifolds [31].

When X is a Calabi-Yau threefold, there are conjectures of Vafa and others (e.g. [3][13]) that relates the (partially defined) open Gromov-Witten invariant of the number of instantons with Lagrangian boundary condition to the large N Chern-Simons invariants of knots in three manifolds.

2.2 Counting Instantons in (almost) G_2-manifolds

Notice that a real linear homomorphism $J : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ being a Hermitian complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is equivalent to the following conditions: for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we have

1. Jv is perpendicular to both v.
2. $|Jv| = |v|$.

We can generalize J to the case involving more than one vector. We call a skew symmetric bilinear map

$$\times : \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

a (2-fold) **vector cross product** if it satisfies

(i) $(u \times v)$ is perpendicular to both u and v
(ii) $|u \times v| =$ Area of parallelogram spanned by u and v.

The obvious example of this is the standard vector product on $\mathbb{R}^3$. By identifying $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, the imaginary part of the quaternion numbers, we have

$$u \times v = \operatorname{Im} \bar{v}u.$$

The same formula defines a vector cross product on $\mathbb{R}^7 = \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}$, the imaginary part of the octonion numbers. Brown and Gray [14] showed that these two are the only possible vector cross product structures on $\mathbb{R}^m$ up to automorphism of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Suppose that M is a seven dimensional Riemannian manifold with a vector cross product $\times$ on each of its tangent spaces. The analog of the symplectic form is a degree three differential form Ω on M defined as follow:

$$\Omega(u, v, w) = g(u \times v, w).$$

Definition 2 *Suppose that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension seven with a vector cross product $\times$ on its tangent bundle. Then*

1. *M is called an **almost G_2-manifold** if $d\Omega = 0$.*
2. *M is called a **G_2-manifold** if $\nabla\Omega = 0$ with ∇ being the Levi-Civita connection.*

Remark 3 *M is a G_2-manifold if and only if its holonomy group is inside the exceptional Lie group $G_2 = Aut(\mathbb{O})$. The geometry of G_2-manifolds can be interpreted as the symplectic geometry on its knot space (see e.g. [23], [27]).*

A typical family of examples of G_2-manifolds can be obtained via the product manifold $M := X \times S^1$ with (X, ω_X) being a Calabi-Yau threefold with a holomorphic volume form Ω_X, and the G_2-form is given by

$$\Omega = \operatorname{Re}\Omega_X + \omega_X \wedge d\theta.$$

Next we define the analogs of holomorphic curves and Lagrangian submanifolds in the G_2 setting.

Definition 4 *Suppose that A is a three dimensional submanifold of an almost G_2-manifold M. We call A an* ***instanton*** *or* ***associative submanifold****, if TA is preserved by the vector cross product $\times$.*

Harvey and Lawson [17] showed that $A \subset M$ is an instanton if and only if A is calibrated by Ω, i.e. $\Omega|_A = vol_A$. This is in turn equivalent to $\tau|_{TA} = 0$ (Lemma 7 ([17], [23])) for τ defined in (3).

In M-theory, associative submanifolds are also called *M2-branes*. In the case when $M = X \times S^1$ with X a Calabi-Yau threefold, $\Sigma \times S^1$ (resp. $L \times \{p\}$) is an instanton in M if and only if Σ (resp. L) is a holomorphic curve (resp. special Lagrangian submanifold with zero phase) in X.

A natural interesting question is to count the number of instantons in M. In the special case of $M = X \times S^1$, these numbers are related to the conjectural invariants proposed by Joyce [21] by counting special Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau threefolds, and the product of holomorphic curves with S^1. This problem has been discussed by many physicists. For example Harvey and Moore discussed in [18] the mirror symmetry aspects of these invariants; Aganagic and Vafa in [3] related these invariants to the open Gromov-Witten invariants for local Calabi-Yau threefolds; Beasley and Witten argued in [4] that when there is a moduli of instantons, then one should count them using the Euler characteristic of the moduli space. The compactness issues of the moduli of instantons is a very challenging problem because the bubbling-off phenomena of (3-dimensional) instantons has not been well understood. This makes it very difficult to define an honest invariant by counting instantons.

It is analoguous to the Floer homology for langrangian intersections, when an instanton A has a nontrivial boundary, $\partial A \neq \phi$, one should require it to lie inside a **brane** or a **coassociative submanifold** to make it a well-posed elliptic problem (see [16] for Fredholmness and index computation), i.e. submanifolds in M where the restriction of Ω is zero and have the largest possible dimension. Branes are the analog of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic geometry.

Definition 5 *Suppose that C is a submanifold of an almost G_2-manifold M. We call C a* ***coassociative submanifold*** *if*

$$\Omega|_C = 0 \text{ and } \dim C = 4.$$

For example when $M = X \times S^1$ with X a Calabi-Yau threefold, $H \times S^1$ (resp. $C \times \{p\}$) is a coassociative submanifold in M if and only if H (resp. C) is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\pi/2$ (resp. complex surface) in X. In [23] J.H. Lee and the first author showed that the isotropic knot space $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1}X$ of X admits a natural holomorphic symplectic structure. Moreover $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1}H$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1}C$) is a complex Lagrangian submanifold in $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1}X$ with respect to the complex structure J (resp. K).

Constructing special Lagrangian submanifolds with zero phase in X with boundaries lying on H (resp. C) corresponds to the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) boundary value problem for minimizing volume among Lagrangian submanifolds as studied by Schoen and others. For a general G_2-manifold M, the natural boundary value for an instanton is a coassociative submanifold. Similar to the intersection theory of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic manifolds, we propose to study the following problem: Count the number of instantons in G_2-manifolds bounding two coassociative submanifolds.

The product of a coassociative submanifold with a two dimensional plane inside the eleven dimension spacetime $M \times \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ is called a *D5-brane* in M-theory. Counting the number of M2-branes between two D5-branes has also been studied in the physics literatures.

In general this is a very difficult problem. For instance, counting S^1-invariant instantons in $M = X \times S^1$ is the open Gromov-Witten invariants. However when the two coassociative submanifolds C and C' are *close* to each other, we can relate the number of thin instantons between them to the number of J-holomorphic curves in C (Theorem 1), hence by Taubes' work, the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C.

2.3 Relationships to Seiberg-Witten Invariants

To determine the number of instantons between nearby coassociative submanifolds, we first recall the deformation theory of compact coassociative submanifolds C inside any G_2-manifold M, as developed by McLean [26]. Given any normal vector field $n \in \Gamma\left(N_{C/M}\right)$, the interior product $\iota_n \Omega$ is naturally a self-dual two form on C because of $\Omega|_C = 0$. This gives a natural identification,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma\left(N_{C/M}\right) \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \Lambda^2_+(C) \\ &n \rightarrow \eta_0 = \iota_n \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Furthermore infinitesimal deformations of coassociative submanifolds are parameterized by *self-dual harmonic two forms* $\eta_0 \in H^2_+(C)$, and they are always unobstructed. Notice that the zero set of η_0 is the intersection of C with a infinitesimally nearby coassociative submanifold C_t, that is

$$\{\eta_0 = 0\} = \lim_{t \to 0} (C \cap C_t),$$

where $C = C_0$ and $\eta_0 = dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$.

Since

$$\eta_0 \wedge \eta_0 = \eta_0 \wedge *\eta_0 = |\eta_0|^2 * 1,$$

η_0 defines a natural symplectic structure on $C^{reg} := C \backslash \{\eta_0 = 0\}$. If we normalize η_0 to η,

$$\eta = \eta_0 / |\eta_0|,$$

then the equation

$$\eta(u, v) = g(Ju, v)$$

defines a Hermitian almost complex structure J on C^{reg}. The J is determined by η, which in turn is determined by n, so we denote it by J_n. More explicitly, for $u \in TC^{reg}$,

$$J_n(u) = |n|^{-1} n \times u. \tag{2}$$

The next proposition says that when two coassociative submanifolds C and C' come together, not necessarily disjoint, then the limit of instantons bounding them will be a J_n-holomorphic curve Σ in C^{reg} with boundary $C \cap C'$.

Proposition 6 *Let M be a G_2-manifold. Suppose that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there is a smooth map*

$$\psi : C \times [0, \varepsilon_0] \longrightarrow M$$

such that for each $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, $\psi_t(\cdot) := \psi(\cdot, t)$ *is a smooth immersion of* C *into* M *as a coassociative submanifold* $C_t := \psi(C \times \{t\})$. *Suppose that* $n = dC_t/dt|_{t=0} \in \Gamma\left(N_{C/M}\right)$ *is nowhere vanishing, and*

$$\phi_t : \Sigma \times [0, t] \longrightarrow M$$

is a smooth family of instantons in M *such that for each* $t \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, ϕ_t *is an associative immersion with boundary condition*

$$\phi_t(\Sigma \times \{0\}) \subset C_0 := \psi(C \times \{0\}) \ , \phi_t(\Sigma \times \{t\}) \subset C_t := \psi(C \times \{t\}) .$$

Suppose that the C^1*-limit of* $\phi_t(\Sigma \times \{0\})$ *exists as* $\varepsilon \to 0$. *Then* $\Sigma_0 := \lim_{t \to 0} \phi_t(\Sigma \times \{0\})$ *is a* J_n*-holomorphic curve in* C_0 ,

Proof. Let us denote the boundary component of A_t =Image(ϕ_t) in C_0 by Σ_t, i.e. $\Sigma_t := \phi_t(\Sigma \times \{0\})$. Let w_t be a unit normal vector field for Σ_t in A_t. We claim that w_t is *perpendicular to* C_0. To see this, note that TA_t being preserved by the vector cross product implies that

$$w_t = u \times v$$

for some tangent vectors u and v in Σ_t; In fact for any unit vector $u \in T\Sigma_t$, $v := w_t \times u \in T\Sigma_t$ by associativity condition of A_t and

$$\begin{aligned} u \times v &= -u \times (u \times w_t) \\ &= \tau(u, u, w_t) + g(u, w_t) u + g(u, u) w_t \\ &= 0 + 0 + w_t = w_t, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the second row the definition of τ in (3) and in the third row that $\tau|_{TA_t} = 0$ by the associativity condition of A_t. Therefore given any tangent vector w along C_0, we have

$$g(w_t, w) = g(u \times v, w) = \Omega(u, v, w) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from C_0 being coassociative and $\Sigma_t \subset C_0$. Using this and the fact that A_t *bounds* $C_0 \cup C_t$ with $\lim_{t \to 0} C_t = C_0$, we conclude that w_t is *pointing towards* C_t. Reparametrize $\phi_t : \Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon] \to M$ when necessary, for small t we can assume that $\frac{d}{ds}\phi_t(z, s)\big|_{s=0}$ is parallel to $w_t(z)$ for any $z \in \Sigma$. Noting that

$$\phi_t(z, 0) \subset C_0 \text{ and } \phi_t(z, t) \subset C_t,$$

we see $\lim_{t\to 0} w_t(z)$ is parallel to

$$\left(\left. \frac{dC_t}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \right) \Bigg|_{\Sigma_0} = n \in \Gamma\left(\Sigma_0, N_{C_0/M}\right).$$

Therefore along Σ_0

$$\lim_{t\to 0} w_t(z) = |n(z)|^{-1} n(z).$$

For any $u_t \in T\Sigma_t$, $w_t \times u_t \perp w_t$ in associative A_t so

$$w_t \times u_t \in T\Sigma_t.$$

Since $\Sigma_t \to \Sigma_0$ in C^1 topology, for any $u \in T\Sigma_0$, u can be realized as the limit of u_t. Therefore

$$J_n(u) = |n|^{-1} n \times u = \lim_{t\to 0}(w_t \times u_t) \in \lim_{t\to 0} T\Sigma_t = T\Sigma_0,$$

i.e. Σ_0 is a J_n-holomorphic curve in C_0 with respect to the almost complex structure J_n defined (2). ■

The reverse of the above proposition is also true (Theorem 22). The Lagrangian analog of it was proven by Fukaya and Oh in [9]. On the other hand, by the celebrated work of Taubes, we expect that the number of such holomorphic curves in C_0 equals to the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C_0. We conjecture the following statement.

Conjecture: Suppose that C and C' are nearby coassociative submanifolds in a G_2-manifold M. Then the number of instantons in M with small volume and with boundary lying on $C \cup C'$ is given by the Seiberg-Witten invariants of C.

The main result of our paper is to solve a special case of the above conjecture, namely, we will concentrate on the case that C and C' are both **compact** and they do **NOT** intersect[1]. The basic ideas are (i) the limit of such instantons is a J-holomorphic curve for almost complex structure J compatible to the (degenerated) symplectic form η on C coming from its deformations as coassociative submanifolds and this process can be reversed; (ii) the number of J-holomorphic curves in the four manifold C should be related to the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C by the work of Taubes ([33], [34]). Note that one only gets one symplectic form η (and hence one almost complex structure J) from a given coassociative deformations of C, though of course one can get more (from different coassociative deformations).

---

[1] In the remaining of the paper, we will always assume C and C' are compact and they do NOT intersect.

Suppose that η is a self-dual two form on C with constant length $\sqrt{2}$, in particular it is a (non-degenerate) symplectic form, and Σ is a holomorphic curve in C, possibly disconnected. If Σ is **regular** in the sense that the linearized Cauchy-Riemann operator $D_{\Sigma}\bar{\partial}_J$ has trivial cokernel [32], then Taubes showed that the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations,

$$\begin{aligned} F_a^+ &= \tau\left(\psi \otimes \psi^*\right) - r\sqrt{-1}\eta, \\ D_{A(a)}\psi &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

have solutions for all sufficient large r. Here a is a connection on the complex line bundle E over C whose first Chern class equals the Poincaré dual of Σ, $PD\left[\Sigma\right]$, ψ is a section of the twisted spinor bundle $S_+ = E \oplus \left(K^{-1} \otimes E\right)$ and $D_{A(a)}$ is the twisted Dirac operator. The number of such solutions is the Seiberg-Witten invariant $SW_C\left(\Sigma\right)$ of C. Furthermore the converse is also true, namely the Seiberg-Witten invariant $SW_C\left(\Sigma\right)$ is equal to the Gromov-Taubes invariants counting holomorphic curves Σ. Thus Taubes established an equivalence between Seiberg-Witten theory and Gromov-Witten theory for symplectic four manifolds. This result has far reaching applications in four dimensional symplectic geometry.

For a general four manifold C with nonzero $b^+\left(C\right)$, using a generic metric, any self-dual two form η on C defines a degenerate symplectic form on C, i.e. η is a symplectic form on the complement of $\{\eta = 0\}$, which is a finite union of circles (see [11][20]). Therefore, one might expect to have a relationship between the Seiberg-Witten invariants of C and the number of holomorphic curves with boundaries $\{\eta = 0\}$ in C. Part of this Taubes' program has been verified in [33], [34].

Suppose that η is a nowhere vanishing self-dual harmonic two form on a coassociative submanifold C in a G_2-manifold M. For any holomorphic curve Σ in C, we want to construct an instanton in M bounding C and C', where C' is a small deformation of the coassociative submanifold C along the normal direction given by η. Notice that C and C' do not intersect. We will construct such an instanton using a perturbation argument which requires a lower bound on the first eigenvalue for the appropriate elliptic operator. Recall that the deformation of an instanton is governed by a twisted Dirac operator. We will reinterpret it as a complexified version of the Cauchy-Riemann operator in Section 3.1.

2.4 Deformation of Instantons

To construct an instanton A in M from a holomorphic curve Σ in C, we need to perturb an almost instanton A$'$ to a honest one using a quantitative version of the implicit function theorem. Let us first recall the deformation theory of instantons A ([17] and [23]) in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a parallel (or closed) r-fold vector cross product

$$\times : \Lambda^r TM \to TM.$$

In our situation, we have $r = 2$. By taking the wedge product with TM we obtain a homomorphism τ,

$$\tau : \Lambda^{r+1} TM \to \Lambda^2 TM \cong \Lambda^2 T^* M,$$

where the last isomorphism is induced from the Riemannian metric. As a matter of fact, the image of τ lies inside the subbundle $\mathfrak{g}_M^{\perp}$ which is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{g}_M \subset \mathfrak{so}\,(TM) \cong \Lambda^2 T^* M$, the bundle of infinitesimal isometries of TM preserving $\times$. That is,

$$\tau \in \Omega^{r+1}\left(M, \mathfrak{g}_M^{\perp}\right).$$

Lemma 7 *([17], [23]) An* $r+1$ *dimensional submanifold* $\mathrm{A} \subset M$ *is an instanton, i.e.* TA *is preserved by* $\times$*, if and only if*

$$\tau|_A = 0 \in \Omega^{r+1}\left(A, \mathfrak{g}_M^{\perp}\right).$$

This lemma is important in describing deformations of an instanton. Mclean [26] used this to show that the normal bundle to an instanton A is a twisted spinor bundle over A and infinitesimal deformations of A are parameterized by twisted harmonic spinors.

In our present situation, (M, g) is a G_2-manifold. Using the cross product, we can identify $\mathfrak{g}_M^{\perp} \subset \Lambda^2 T^* M \cong \Lambda^2 TM$ with the tangent bundle TM, i.e. for $u \wedge v \in \Lambda^2 TM$, we identify it with $w \in TM$ that $w = u \times v$. Then we can also characterize $\tau \in \Omega^3\,(M, TM)$ by the following formula,

$$(*\Omega)\,(u, v, w, z) = g\,(\tau\,(u, v, w)\,, z)\,,$$

More explicitly,

$$\tau\,(u, v, w) = -u \times (v \times w) - g\,(u, v)\, w + g\,(u, w)\, v. \tag{3}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{A} \subset M$ is an instanton if and only if $*_{\mathrm{A}}\,(\tau|_{\mathrm{A}}) = 0 \in T_M|_{\mathrm{A}}$.

Example. The G_2 manifold $\mathbb{R}^7$:

$$\mathbb{R}^7 \simeq \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O} \simeq \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \left\{(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k}, x_4 + x_5\mathbf{i} + x_6\mathbf{j} + x_7\mathbf{k})\right\},$$

the standard basis consists of $e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots 7)$, the multiplication × for $(a, b), (c, d) \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \simeq \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}$ is

$$(a, b) \times (c, d) = (ac - d^* b, da + bc^*) \tag{4}$$

(Cayley–Dickson construction), where z^* denotes the conjugate of the quaternion z. The G_2 form Ω is

$$\Omega = \omega^{123} - \omega^{167} - \omega^{527} - \omega^{563} - \omega^{154} - \omega^{264} - \omega^{374},$$

the form τ is the following ((5.4) in [26])

$$\begin{aligned} \tau = {} & \left(\omega^{256} - \omega^{247} + \omega^{346} - \omega^{357}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \left(\omega^{156} - \omega^{147} - \omega^{345} + \omega^{367}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ & + \left(\omega^{245} - \omega^{267} - \omega^{146} - \omega^{157}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \left(\omega^{567} - \omega^{127} + \omega^{136} - \omega^{235}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \\ & + \left(\omega^{126} - \omega^{467} + \omega^{137} + \omega^{234}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} + \left(\omega^{457} - \omega^{125} - \omega^{134} + \omega^{237}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} \\ & + \left(\omega^{124} - \omega^{456} - \omega^{135} - \omega^{236}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\omega^{ijk} = dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k$. The $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \oplus \{0\}$ is associative (i.e. an instanton), and $\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ is coassociative.

As a matter of fact, if A is already close to be an instanton, then we only need the normal components of $*_{\mathtt{A}} (\tau|_{\mathtt{A}})$ to vanish.

Proposition 8 *There is a positive constant δ such that for any 3-plane A in $\left(\mathbb{R}^7, \Omega\right)$ with $|\tau|_A| < \delta$, A is an instanton if and only if $*_A (\tau|_A) \in T_A$.*

Proof. McLean observed (From formula (5.6) in [26]) that if A_t is a family of linear subspaces in $M \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ with A_0 an instanton, then

$$*_{\mathrm{A}_t} \left(\frac{d\tau|_{\mathrm{A}_t}}{dt} \right) \bigg|_{t=0} \in N_{\mathrm{A}_0/M} \subset T_M|_{\mathrm{A}_0}.$$

We may assume that A is spanned by e_1, e_2 and $\tilde{e}_3 = e_3 + \sum_{a=4}^{7} t_a e_a$ for some small t_a's where e_i's is a standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^7$, in particular $e_1 \times e_2 = e_3$. This is because the natural action of G_2 on the Grassmannian $Gr(2, 7)$ is transitive. An easy computation (c.f. equation (5.4) in [26]) shows that the normal component of $*(\tau|_{\mathrm{A}})$ in $N_{\mathrm{A}/M}$ is given by

$$*(\tau|_{\mathrm{A}})^{\perp} = -t_5 (e_4)^{\perp} + t_4 (e_5)^{\perp} + t_7 (e_6)^{\perp} - t_6 (e_7)^{\perp},$$

where $(\cdot)^{\perp}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $N_{\mathrm{A}/M}$.When t_a's are all zero, we have $(e_a)^{\perp} = e_a$ for $4 \leq a \leq 7$. In particular, they are linearly independent when t_a's are small. In that case, $*(\tau|_{\mathrm{A}})^{\perp} = 0$ will actually imply that $t_a = 0$ for all a, i.e. A is an instanton in M. Hence the proposition. ■

This proposition will be needed later when we perturb an almost instanton to an honest one. We also need to identify the normal bundle $N_{\mathrm{A}/M}$ to an instanton A with a twisted spinor bundle over A as follow [26]: We denote P to be the $SO(4)$-frame bundle of $N_{\mathrm{A}/M}$. Using the identification

$$a : SO(4) = Sp(1) \times Sp(1) / \pm (1,1) \to SO(\mathbb{H}),$$

$$(p, q) \cdot y = py\bar{q}, \text{ with } p, q \in Sp(1) \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Mclean [26] have shown that the normal bundle $N_{\mathtt{A}/M}$ can be identified as an associated bundle to P for the representation $SO(4) \to SO(\mathbb{H})$ given by $(p, q) \cdot y = py\bar{q}$. The spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$ of $\mathtt{A}$ is associated to P for the representation $s : SO(4) \to SO(\mathbb{H})$ given by $(p, q) \cdot y = y\bar{q}$. Let E be the associated bundle to P for the representation $e : SO(4) \to SO(\mathbb{H})$ given by $(p, q) \cdot y = py$. Then because the 3 representations a, s and e have relation

$$a = s \circ e,$$

we obtain

$$N_{\mathrm{A}/M} \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} E.$$

Alternative proof of $N_{\mathtt{A}/M} \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} E$ using explicit frame identification is contained in the proof of next theorem.

We re-derive McLean's theorem on deformation of associative submanifolds A in a G_2 manifold M. The original proof (Theorem 5.2 in [26]) is not quite precise: the associative form $\tau \in \Omega^3(M, TM)$ is *vector-valued* rather than a usual differential form, so the pull back operation and Cartan-formula need to be clarified. The key is to define suitable notion of pull back for vector-valued forms. Our calculation is flexible and can be extended to almost associative submanifolds in later sections. Other proofs were given in [2] and [15].

Theorem 9 (McLean) *Under the correspondence of normal vector fields with twisted spinors, the Zariski tangent space to associative submanifolds at an associative sub-manifold A is the space of harmonic twisted spinors on A, that is the kernel of the twisted Dirac operator.*

Proof. For any section V of $N_{A/M}$ with with C^0 norm smaller than the injective radius δ_0 of M, we define a nonlinear map

$$\begin{aligned} F &: \Gamma\left(N_{A/M}\right) \to \Omega^3\left(A, i^*TM\right), \\ F\left(V\right) &= T_V \circ \left(\exp V\right)^* \tau, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where for the embedding $\exp V : A \to M$, $\left(\exp V\right)^*$ pulls back the differential form part of the tensor τ, and $T_V : T_{\exp_p(tV)}M \to T_pM$ pulls back the vector part of the tensor τ by parallel transport along the geodesic $\exp_p\left(tV\right)$. There is an ambiguity of the form part and vector part of tensor τ up to a scalar function-valued matrix transform Θ and Θ^{-1} respectively, but by the linearity of T_V and $\left(\exp V\right)^*$ on scalar function factors one can easily show the definition of F is independent on such Θ, so F is well-defined.

We make F more explicit by using a good frame. At a point $p \in A$, we pick two orthonormal vectors $\{W_1, W_2\}$ in T_pA, then *with respect to the induced connection* ∇^A on A, we parallel transport $\{W_1, W_2, W_3 = W_1 \times W_2\}$ from p to a neighborhood B in A along geodesic rays from p. From the construction we see

$$\nabla^A_{W_i} W_j\left(p\right) = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3 \tag{7}$$

Then at any $q \in B \subset A$ we have orthonormal basis

$$\{W_1\left(q\right), W_2\left(q\right), W_3\left(q\right) = W_1\left(q\right) \times W_2\left(q\right)\}$$

spanning T_qA. (This uses that $W_1\left(q\right) \times W_2\left(q\right)$ and the parallelly transported $W_3\left(p\right)$ both orthogonal to $W_1\left(q\right)$ and $W_2\left(q\right)$ in 3 dimensional A). We further choose a smooth normal unit vector field W_4 on B in M as following: we choose a $W_4 \in N_{A/M}\left(p\right)$ then use the parallel transport of $N_{A/M}$ *with respect to the induced connection* $\nabla^\perp$ in $N_{A/M}$ that $\nabla^\perp = \perp\nabla$ from the metric on M, where $\perp : TM \to N_{A/M}$ is the natural projection. Thus on B,

$$W_4 \perp \{W_1, W_2, W_1 \times W_2\}.$$

Using Lemma A.15 in [17] (Cayley–Dickson construction) we can uniquely extend $\{W_\alpha\left(q\right)\}_{1,2,3,4}$ to basis $\{W_\alpha\left(q\right)\}_{\alpha=1,2,\ldots,7}$ of T_qM, such that $W_{i+3}\left(q\right) = W_i\left(q\right) \times W_4\left(q\right)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and the correspondence

$$T_qM \ni W_\alpha \overset{i}{\leftrightarrow} e_\alpha \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, ..., 7 \tag{8}$$

preserves the inner product $\cdot$ and cross product $\times$, where $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\ldots,7}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^7 \simeq \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}$ defined in previous example. So locally $N_{A/M}$

is trivialized as $B \times \mathbb{H}$, and at any $q \in B$, by the above basis W_α we have algebra isomorphism

$$T_q M = T_q A \oplus N_{A/M}(q) \overset{i}{\simeq} \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \simeq \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}$$

that smoothly depends on $q \in B$. Hence $N_{A/M}$ is a quarternion valued bundle over A isomorphic to $\mathbb{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} E$.

From our construction we also have

$$\nabla^{\perp}_{W_i} W_k(p) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1,2,3 \text{ and } k = 4,5,6,7, \tag{9}$$

because $\nabla^{\perp}_{W_i} W_4(p) = 0$ by construction of W_4, and for $k = 5,6,7$ say $k = 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\perp}_{W_i} W_5(p) &= \perp (\nabla_{W_i}(W_1 \times W_4))(p) = \perp (\nabla_{W_i} W_1 \times W_4 + W_1 \times \nabla_{W_i} W_4)(p) \\ &= \nabla^{A}_{W_i} W_1(p) \times W_4 + W_1 \times \nabla^{\perp}_{W_i} W_4(p) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the second row is because $N_{A/M}(p) \times W_4 \subset T_p A$ (for $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \simeq \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$, $(0, \mathbb{H}) \times (0,1) \subset (\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}, 0)$ by (4)) and $W_1 \times T_p A \subset T_p A$ by associative condition. We remark that the parallel transport in $N_{A/M}$ w.r.t $\nabla^{\perp}$ is an *isometry*, for if $\nabla^{\perp}_{T} W = 0$ for section W in $N_{A/M}$ then

$$\nabla_T \langle W, W \rangle = 2 \langle \nabla_T W, W \rangle = 2 \left\langle \nabla^{\perp}_T W, W \right\rangle = 0. \tag{11}$$

Next for each $q \in B$, we *parallelly transport* the frame $\{W_\alpha(q)\}_{\alpha=1,2,\dots,7}$ along geodesical rays emanating from q in M in $N_{A/M}(q)$ directions up to length δ_0. This extends the frame to a tubular neighborhood of A in M. Then $\nabla_V W_\alpha(q) = 0$ for any $V \in \Gamma\left(N_{A/M}\right)$. If we write

$$\tau = \omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha \qquad (\alpha = 1,2,...,7)$$

following Einstein's summation convention, then

$$F(V)(q) = (\exp V)^* \omega^\alpha(q) \otimes T_V W_\alpha\left(\exp_q V\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(0)V &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} F(tV) \\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \left[(\exp tV)^* \omega^\alpha \otimes T_{tV} W_\alpha\right] \\ &= L_V \omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_V W_\alpha \\ &= d(i_V \omega^\alpha) \otimes W_\alpha + i_V d\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_V W_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Since $\nabla\tau = 0$ and $\nabla_V W_\alpha(q) = 0$ by the parallel property of τ and W_α, we have

$$0 = \nabla_V \tau(q) = \nabla_V \omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha(q) + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_V W_\alpha(q) = \nabla_V \omega^\alpha(q) \otimes W_\alpha(q),$$

and so $\nabla_V \omega^\alpha(q) = 0$. Since $\nabla = d + A$ and in normal coordinate the connection 1-form A vanishes at q along the fiber direction of $N_{A/M}$, we have $i_V d\omega^\alpha(q) = 0$. Therefore by (12), at q we have

$$F'(0)\, V(q) = d\left(i_V \omega^\alpha\right) \otimes W_\alpha(q). \tag{13}$$

By our choice of W_a, the $\tau = \omega^a \otimes W_\alpha$ at q is the standard form (5), and by the parallel property of the cross product $\times$ and τ, in the neighborhood of q in M the τ is also of standard form as (5), in the sense that the coordinate vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega^i}$ is replaced by the frame W_i, and $\omega^{ijk} = dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k$ is replaced by $W_i^* \wedge W_j^* \wedge W_k^*$ where W_α^* is the dual vector of W_α. Namely

$$\tau = \left(W_2^* \wedge W_5^* \wedge W_6^* - W_2^* \wedge W_4^* \wedge W_7^* + \cdots\right) \otimes W_1 + \text{similar terms.}$$

This is because $\nabla_V\left(\tau\left(W_i, W_j, W_k\right)\right) = 0$ for $V \in \Gamma\left(N_{A/M}\right)$, by $\nabla\tau = 0$ and that $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\ldots,7}$ is parallel in $N_{A/M}$ directions. Therefore from (13), similar to the way of deriving (5.6) in [26], and noting $dW_i^*(p) = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots 7)$ from (7) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F'(0)\, V(p) &= d\left(i_V \omega^\alpha\right) \otimes W_\alpha(p) \\ &= \mathcal{D}V(p) \otimes W_1^* \wedge W_2^* \wedge W_3^*(p) \\ &= \mathcal{D}V(p) \otimes dvol_A(p), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where for $V = V^4 W_4 + V^5 W_5 + V^6 W_6 + V^7 W_7$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}V(p) = &- \left(V_1^5 + V_2^6 + V_3^7\right) W_4 + \left(V_1^4 + V_3^6 - V_2^7\right) W_5 \\ &+ \left(V_2^4 - V_3^5 + V_1^7\right) W_6 + \left(V_3^4 + V_2^5 - V_1^6\right) W_7 \end{aligned}$$

is the twisted Dirac operator (5.2) in [26] and also (17) below, with $V_i^k = dV^k(W_i)$, and $dvol_A = W_1^* \wedge W_2^* \wedge W_3^*$ is the induced volume form on $A \subset M$ since $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is the orthonormal basis of TA. Since $p \in A$ and section V are arbitary, we have

$$F'(0) = \mathcal{D} \otimes dvol_A. \tag{15}$$

Note that both sides of the above identity are independent on the choice of the frame $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\ldots,7}$. If the normal vector field V is induced from deformation of associative submanifolds $\{A_t\}_{0\le t\le\varepsilon_0}$, i.e.

$$A_t = \exp U(t) \cdot A \quad \text{for } U(t) \in \Gamma\left(N_{A/M}\right) \text{ and } U'(0) = V,$$

then differentiating $F(U(t)) = 0$ at $t = 0$ and using (15) we get $\mathcal{D}V = 0$ on A, namely V is a harmonic twisted spinor. ■

Remark 10 *1. The vector field V is only defined on $A \subset M$, but along the geodesic rays from A in the fiber directions of $N_{\mathtt{A}/M}$, one can extend V to an open neighborhood of A by parallel transport, therefore the Lie derivative $L_V\omega$ for any 3-form ω on M makes sense in this neighborhood. However, the Lie derivative of ω restricted on A, namely $i^*(L_V\omega)$ is actually independent on the extension of V, as mentioned in [26]. One can see this from the Cartan formula*

$$L_V\omega = d(i_V\omega) + i_V d\omega$$

as following: On A, the second term $i_V d\omega$ is independent on the extension of V. For the first term $d(i_V\omega)$, since we restrict it on $A \subset M$, one can directly check that this term only involves the derivatives of the section V in tangent directions of A, for any derivative in normal direction will contribute a covector not in T^*A and make the corresponding summand in $i^*(L_V\omega)$ vanish. So $i^*(L_V\omega)$ is independent on the extension of V to M.

2. In the above proof, the normal vector field V is used only to ensure that $\exp V : A \to M$ is an embedding and give $d(i_V\omega^\alpha)\otimes W_\alpha$ a twisted Dirac operator interpretation on normal bundle $N_{A/M}$. Actually, to write down the linearization of F, one only needs the normal bundle of A in M in differential topology sense, not necessarily in Riemannian metric sense. If we denote the differential topological normal bundle by $N_{A/M}^{top}$, then for section $V \in \Gamma\left(N_{A/M}^{top}\right)$ with small C^0 norm, $\exp V : A \to M$ is an embedding so we can define the linearization of F as before. Topological normal bundle $N_{A/M}^{top}$ has more flexibility in the study of linearization of F on almost instantons and will be used later in this paper.

3. The linearization formula

$$F'(0)V = d(i_V\omega^\alpha) \otimes W_\alpha + i_V d\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_V W_\alpha \qquad (16)$$

holds for any section $V \in \Gamma\left(N_{A/M}^{top}\right)$, any tangent frame $\{W_a\}_{i=1,2,\cdots 7}$ along any submanifold $A \subset M$ (not necessarily associative). The $d(i_V\omega^\alpha)\otimes W_\alpha$ is the principle symbol term of the first order linear differential operator $F'(0)$ and behaves functorially under the diffeomorphism between two manifolds. To get $F'(0)V(p) = d(i_V\omega^\alpha)\otimes W_\alpha(p)$, *one only needs to choose the frame* $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\cdots,7}$ *that is parallel along the curves* $\exp_p(tv)$ *for all* $p \in A$ *and* $v \in N^{top}_{A/M}(p)$.

4. *On an almost instanton* A*, its Riemannian normal bundle is not closed under* $\times$ *by* TA *in general, so the linearized instanton equation* $F'(0)V$ *can NOT be interpreted as a twisted Dirac operator, although* A *itself has well-defined Atiyah-Singer Dirac operator. For this reason, we will seldom use twisted Dirac operator, but mainly (16) to do computations and estimates of* $F'(0)V$*, with the aid of good local frames* $\{W_a\}_{i=1,2,\cdots 7}$.

5. *At a point* p *in a general* 3*-manifold* $A \subset M$ *with volume form* $dvol_A$*, we can write the linearization*

$$F'(0)V(p) = G \circ V(p) \otimes dvol_A(p),$$

where G *is a first order linear differential operator, whose principle symbol depends on the algebraic relation of the frame* $\{W_a\}$ *under the products* $\times$ *and* $\cdot$ *, and the volume form on* A*. Besides the associative* $\{W_a\}_{i=1,2,3}$*+coassociative* $\{W_a\}_{i=4,5,6,7}$ *type frame, there maybe some other type of frames leading to meaningful differential operator* G.

By McLean's theorem, the normal bundle to any instanton A is a twisted spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}\otimes_{\mathbb{H}}E$, or simply $\mathbb{S}$, over A. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the twisted Dirac operator on A. We want to write it down explicitly in local coordinates near p. We let $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{7}$ be a local orthonormal frame constructed as above. Suppose

$$V = V^4W_4 + V^5W_5 + V^6W_6 + V^7W_7$$

is a normal vector field to A and we write the covariant differentiation of V as $\nabla(V) := V_i^\alpha W_\alpha \otimes \omega^i$ with $\{\omega^i\}$ being the co-frame dual to $\{W_i\}$, then the twisted Dirac operator is

$$\mathcal{D} = W_1 \times \nabla_1 + W_2 \times \nabla_2 + W_3 \times \nabla_3, \tag{17}$$

where $\nabla_i := \nabla^\perp_{W_i}$ $(i = 1,2,3)$. For instance when the G_2 manifold is $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O} \simeq \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ and the instanton is $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, then by viewing V a $\mathbb{H}$ valued function, $V = V^4 + \mathbf{i}V^5 + \mathbf{j}V^6 + \mathbf{k}V^7$, the twisted Dirac opeartor is $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{i}\nabla_1 + \mathbf{j}\nabla_2 + \mathbf{k}\nabla_3$. Similar to classic Atiyah-Singer Dirac operators, expression (17) can be easily shown to be independent on the choice of orthonormal basis $\{W_a\}_{i=1,2,3}$ of

TA, thus $\mathcal{D}$ is globally defined on A. From (17) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}V\left(p\right) &= \left(W_1 \times \nabla_1 + W_2 \times \nabla_2 + W_3 \times \nabla_3\right)\left(V^4W_4 + V^5W_5 + V^6W_6 + V^7W_7\right) \\ &= -\left(V_1^5 + V_2^6 + V_3^7\right)W_4 + \left(V_1^4 + V_3^6 - V_2^7\right)W_5 \\ &\quad + \left(V_2^4 - V_3^5 + V_1^7\right)W_6 + \left(V_3^4 + V_2^5 - V_1^6\right)W_7. \qquad (18)\end{aligned}$$

We remark that away from p the expression of $\mathcal{D}V$ may have more 0-th order terms in general.

3 Dirac Equation on Thin 3-manifolds

3.1 A Simplified Model

To motivate our analytical estimates for later sections, let us first consider a simplified model. Suppose that $\mathtt{A}$ is a three manifold $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon = [0,\varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ and (x_1, z) be the coordinates on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$. On $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ we put warp product metric $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h} = h\left(z\right)dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$, where Σ is a Riemann surface with a background metric g_Σ and $h\left(z\right) > 0$ is a C^∞ function on Σ. Let e_1 be the unit tangent vector field on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ normal to Σ, namely along the x_1-direction and $e_1 = h^{-1/2}\left(z\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$. We introduce a first order linear differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ that

$$\mathcal{D} = e_1 \cdot \nabla_1 + \bar{\partial} = e_1 \cdot h^{-1/2}\left(z\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \bar{\partial}, \qquad (19)$$

where $\nabla_1 = \nabla_{e_1} = h^{-1/2}\left(z\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, and $\bar{\partial} = \left(\overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial}^*\right)$ is the Dirac operator on Dolbeault complex $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,*}\left(L\right)$ of Hermitian line bundle L over the Riemann surface Σ with a connection ∇ (c.f. Proposition 3.67 of [5] or Proposition 1.4.25 of [29], by taking the Kahler manifold to be Σ and the Hermitian line bundle to be L). Here $\bar{\partial}$ acts on the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ via the following identification

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}\left(L\right) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}\left(L\right) \arrow[r, "\left(\overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial}^*\right)"] \arrow[d] & \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}\left(L\right) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}\left(L\right) \arrow[d] \\ \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^- \arrow[r, "\bar{\partial}"] & \mathbb{S}^- \oplus \mathbb{S}^+ \end{tikzcd} \qquad (20)$$

where on the left $\mathbb{S}^+$ and $\mathbb{S}^-$ are identified to complex line bundles L and $L \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}\left(\Sigma\right)$ respectively, $\overline{\partial} : \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}\left(L\right) \to \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}\left(L\right)$ is the Dolbeault operator and $\overline{\partial}^* : \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}\left(L\right) \to \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}\left(L\right)$ is its formal adjoint operator. The Dolbeault operator $\overline{\partial}$ depends on the complex structures j on Σ, J on L, and the connection ∇ of L.

When $h(z)$ is a constant, from spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ over Σ one can construct a spinor bundle over the odd dimensional manifold $\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon := [0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ by taking product with $[0, \varepsilon]$ (see [7]). For convenience we still denote this spinor bundle by $\mathbb{S}$. [7] also constructs a Dirac operator on spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{A}_\varepsilon$ right from the Dirac operator $\bar{\partial}$ on spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \to \Sigma$, and it is $\mathcal{D} = e_1 \cdot \nabla_1 + \bar{\partial}$ as in (19). For general $h(z)$, our $\mathcal{D}$ is a Dirac type operator but not necessarily a geniue Dirac operator.

Let's recall the Clifford miltiplication of $T\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon$ on $\mathbb{S}$. It enough to define the Clifford multiplication $\cdot$ of e_1 on $\mathbb{S}$. Let σ be the volume element of Clifford bundle $Cl(\Sigma)$, $\sigma^2 = 1$. Then $\mathbb{S}^+$ and $\mathbb{S}^-$ are the ± 1 eigenbundles of σ and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$. Since the Clifford multiplication $\cdot$ of e_1 on $\mathbb{S}$ satisfies $e_1^2 = -1$, the natural choice of the action $e_1 \cdot$ on $\mathbb{S}$ is to let $\mathbb{S}^+$ and $\mathbb{S}^-$ be the $\pm i$ eigenbundles, namely for $(u, v) \in \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$, $e_1 \cdot (u, v) = (iu, -iv)$. The connection of $\mathbb{S}$ along x_1 direction is trivial.

Given $z_0 \in \Sigma$, in its neighborhood in Σ we can choose complex coordinate $z = x_2 + ix_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$ orthonormal at z_0. We locally trivialize the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^- \to \Sigma$ as

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{j} = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{2}} \to \mathbb{C}.$$

We may choose the trivialization such the Dirac operator

$$\left(\overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial}^*\right)\Big|_{z_0} = \left(\overline{\partial}_z, -\partial_z\right).$$

We may write the section V of $\mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{A}_\varepsilon$ as $V = (u, v) := u + v\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ with

$$\begin{aligned} u &= V^4 + \mathbf{i}V^5 \in \mathbb{S}^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}, \\ v &= V^6 + \mathbf{i}V^7 \in \mathbb{S}^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } v\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}^+\mathbf{j} = \mathbb{S}^-. \end{aligned}$$

With these understood, we may write (19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}V &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{bmatrix} \left(h^{-1/2}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}^* \\ \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} && (21) \\ &\overset{\text{at } z_0}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{bmatrix} \left(h^{-1/2}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i}\partial_z \\ \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}_z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left((\nabla_1 u + \mathbf{i}\partial_z v) + \left(\nabla_1 v + \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}_z u \right) \cdot \mathbf{j} \right) \cdot \mathbf{i} \\ &= (\mathbf{i}\nabla_1 u - \partial_z v) + \left(-\mathbf{i}\nabla_1 v + \overline{\partial}_z u \right) \cdot \mathbf{j}, && (22) \end{aligned}$$

where at z_0 we have the identification

$$\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}_z = \nabla_2 + \mathbf{i}\nabla_3, \ \ -\overline{\partial}^* = \partial_z = \nabla_2 - \mathbf{i}\nabla_3$$

with $\nabla_i = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}}$ for $i = 2, 3$ and $\nabla_1 = h^{-1/2}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$. We will also denote

$$-\mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}^* = \partial^+ \text{ and } \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial} = \partial^-$$

respectively. They satisfy $\partial^+ = (\partial^-)^*$.

This implies that the equation $\mathcal{D}V = 0$ is equivalent to the following equations, an analog of Cauchy-Riemann equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^- u + h^{-1/2}(z)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} &= 0, \\ \partial^+ v + h^{-1/2}(z)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We put the boundary condition

$$v|_{\partial \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = 0. \tag{24}$$

(The more precise formulation will be given in next section (25)). It is similar to the totally real boundary condition for J-holomorphic maps. When $h(z)$ is a constant, it is a special case of the theory of boundary value problem for Dirac operators developed in [7]. For general $h(z)$, that the boundary value problem is Fredholm follows from our elliptic estimates in Section 3.3. Also see [16] for relevant discussion.

Later in Section 4, we will apply the above $\mathcal{D}$ to the case when $h(z) = |n(z)|^2$, where $n(z) = \frac{d}{dt}C_t\big|_{t=0}$ is the normal vector field on C_0 coming from the deformation of coassociative submanifolds C_t, and the Hermitian line bundle L is the normal bundle N_{Σ_0/C_0} of the J_n-holomorphic curve Σ_0 in coassociative manifold C_0, whose connection ∇ is the normal connection from the induced metric of $\Sigma_0 \subset C_0$.

3.2 First Eigenvalue Estimates

In this subsection we will establish a quantitative estimate of the eigenvalue of the linearized operator for the simplified model $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon = [0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ with warp product metric $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, h} = h(z)\,dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$, where Σ is a **compact** Riemann surface, and $h(z)$ is a smooth function on Σ with $\frac{1}{K} \leq h \leq K$ for some constant $K > 0$. The volume form of this metric on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ is

$$dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = h^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)\, dvol_\Sigma dx_1.$$

We introduce the following function spaces for spinors $V = (u, v)$ over $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$.

Definition 11 *Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the spinor bundle over $(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon})$ and V be a smooth section of $\mathbb{S}$,*

1. *We define the norm*

$$\|V\|_{L^{m,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} := \left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta\le m} \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \left|(\nabla_{x_1})^\alpha (\nabla_\Sigma)^\beta V\right|^p h^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)\, dvol_\Sigma dx_1.\right)^{1/p}$$

   and

$$\|V\|_{C^m(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} := \sum_{\alpha+\beta\le m} \sup \left|(\nabla_{x_1})^\alpha (\nabla_\Sigma)^\beta V\right|$$

   where ∇_{x_1} and ∇_Σ are the covariant differentiation along x_1-direction and Σ-directions (i.e. two tangent directions on Σ) respectively with respect to the metric $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$. And the L^p-norm is with respect to $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$ too. By standard Sobolev embedding theorem, we have an ε independent constant C such that for any smooth section V,

$$\|V\|_{L^p(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \le C \|V\|_{L^{m,q}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}, \text{ for } p \le \frac{3q}{3-mq}$$
$$\|V\|_{C^m(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \le C \|V\|_{L^{l,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}, \text{ for } p \ge \frac{3}{l-m}$$

   as long as ε is bounded below and above by some universal constant. For the later purpose let us fix $\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]$.

2. *We define the function spaces*

$$L^{m,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) := \left\{V = (u,v) \in \Gamma(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) \mid \|V\|_{L^{m,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} < +\infty\right\}$$

   and $L_-^{m,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ (resp.$L_+^{m,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$) be the closure (with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{m,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon)}$) of the subspace of smooth sections $V = (u,v) \in \Gamma(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ such that $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \backslash \partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon)$ (resp. $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \backslash \partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon)$), where $C_0^\infty(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \backslash \partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon)$ denotes the space of smooth functions with compact support inside $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \backslash \partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$. Let us also introduce the space

$$C^m(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) := \left\{V = (u,v) \in \Gamma(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) \mid \|V\|_{C^m(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} < +\infty\right\},$$
$$C_-^m(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) := \left\{V = (u,v) \in \Gamma(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) \mid \|V\|_{C^m(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} < +\infty, v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = 0\right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the operator (19) in our linear model. We will impose boundary condition for sections V that $\mathcal{D}$ acts on. It is known (c.f. [7] Theorem 21.5) that the Dirac operators

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm} := \mathcal{D}|_{L^{1,2}_{\pm}} : L^{1,2}_{\pm}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right) \to L^{2}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right) \tag{25}$$

give well-posed *local elliptic boundary problems* and their formal adjoint operators are $\mathcal{D}^{*}_{\pm} = \mathcal{D}_{\mp}$. This boundary condition restricted on smooth sections $V = (u, v)$ in $L^{1,2}_{-}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)$ means

$$v|_{\partial \mathrm{A}_{\varepsilon}} = 0, \text{ i.e. } v\left(0, \Sigma\right) = v\left(\varepsilon, \Sigma\right) = 0. \tag{26}$$

The following theorem compares the first eigenvalue for Dirac operator $\bar{\partial}$ on the Riemann surface Σ with the $\mathcal{D}$ on product three manifold $\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}$. In the following discussion, for any two sections V, U in $L^{2}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)$, we let the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})}$ be

$$\langle U, V \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})} := \int_{[0,\varepsilon]} \int_{\Sigma} \langle U, V \rangle \left(x_{1}, z\right) h^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(z\right) dvol_{\Sigma} dx_{1}.$$

where in the integral the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in fibers of the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$. We let

$$\begin{aligned} \left|V\left(x_{1}, z\right)\right|^{2} &:= \left\langle V\left(x_{1}, z\right), V\left(x_{1}, z\right) \right\rangle \\ \|V\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})} &:= \langle V, V \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 12 *Suppose $\lambda_{\partial^{+}}$ (resp. $\lambda_{\partial^{-}}$) is the first eigenvalue of Laplacian $\Delta_{\Sigma} = \partial^{-}\partial^{+}$ (resp. $\Delta_{\Sigma} = \partial^{+}\partial^{-}$) acting on the space $L^{1,2}\left(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^{+}\right)$ (resp. $L^{1,2}\left(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^{-}\right)$) and let*

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} := \inf_{0 \neq V \in L^{1,2}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})} \frac{\|\mathcal{D}V\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})}}{\|V\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})}} \ \textit{(resp.} \inf_{0 \neq V \in L^{1,2}_{+}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})} \frac{\|\mathcal{D}V\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})}}{\|V\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S})}} \textit{).}$$

(Notice the boundary condition (25), (26) for V). Then

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \min \frac{1}{K} \left\{ \lambda_{\partial^{+}}, \frac{2}{K\varepsilon^{2}} - K \left\| h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^{2}_{C^{1}(\Sigma)} \right\} \ \textit{(resp.} \ \min \frac{1}{K} \left\{ \lambda_{\partial^{-}}, \frac{2}{K\varepsilon^{2}} - K \left\| h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^{2}_{C^{1}(\Sigma)} \right\} \textit{)}$$

where $K > 0$ is some constant that $\frac{1}{K} \leq h\left(z\right) \leq K$ for all $z \in \Sigma$.

Proof. In the following we will assume the volume form $dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = dvol_\Sigma dx_1$ to simplify calculation. General cases can be reduced to this case by observing

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{D}V\|^2_{L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}}{\|V\|^2_{L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}} \geq \frac{\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} |DV|^2 K^{-1/2} dvol_\Sigma dx_1}{\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} |V|^2 K^{1/2} dvol_\Sigma dx_1} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} |DV|^2 dvol_\Sigma dx_1}{\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} |V|^2 dvol_\Sigma dx_1} \tag{27}$$

from the condition $\frac{1}{K} \leq h(z) \leq K$.

For any $V = (u, v) \in L^{1,2}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V \rangle_{L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} = \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \Bigg(& h^{-1}(z) \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1} \right|^2 + 2 \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial^+ \\ \partial^- & 0 \end{bmatrix} V \right\rangle \\ & + \left|\partial^+ v\right|^2 + \left|\partial^- u\right|^2 \Bigg) dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula $\partial^- = (\partial^+)^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial^+ \\ \partial^- & 0 \end{bmatrix} V \right\rangle dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \\ & = \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \left(\left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \partial^+ v \right\rangle + \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}, \partial^- u \right\rangle \right) dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} (\because \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^- \text{ orthogonal decomposition}) \\ & = \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \left(\left\langle \partial^- \left(h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right), v \right\rangle - \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} v, \partial^- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right) \right\rangle \right) dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \\ & + \int_{\{\varepsilon\} \times \Sigma} \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} v, \partial^- u \right\rangle dvol_\Sigma - \int_{\{0\} \times \Sigma} \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} v, \partial^- u \right\rangle dvol_\Sigma \\ & = \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \left(\left\langle d\left(h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, v \right\rangle + \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial^- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right), v \right\rangle - \left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}} v, \partial^- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right) \right\rangle \right) dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \\ & (\text{since } v \text{ vanishes on } \partial \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon) \\ & = \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \left\langle d\left(h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, v \right\rangle \; dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} (\because \text{the above 2nd and 3rd terms cancel}) \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\left|\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z)\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1},\begin{bmatrix}0 & \partial^+\\ \partial^- & 0\end{bmatrix}V\right\rangle dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\right|\\
&\le 2\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\left|\left\langle d\left(h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1},v\right\rangle dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\right|\\
&\le \left\|h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{C^1(\Sigma)}\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\left|2\left\langle\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1},v\right\rangle dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\right|\\
&\le \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{K}\left|u_{x_1}\right|^2+K\left\|h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2_{C^1(\Sigma)}|v|^2\right)dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}h^{-1}(z)\left|V_{x_1}\right|^2 dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$, we notice that, for any fixed point $p\in\Sigma$, $v|_{[0,\varepsilon]\times\{p\}}$ can be treated as a $\mathbb{C}$-valued function over the interval $[0,\varepsilon]$ with boundary value $v(0,z)=0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\varepsilon |v|^2dx_1 &= \int_0^\varepsilon\left|\int_0^{x_1}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t)\,dt\right|^2dx_1\\
&\le \int_0^\varepsilon\left(\int_0^{x_1}ds\right)\left(\int_0^{x_1}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t)\right|^2dt\right)dx_1\\
&\le \int_0^\varepsilon x_1dx_1\int_0^\varepsilon\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t)\right|^2dt\\
&\le K\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\int_0^\varepsilon h^{-1}(z)\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t)\right|^2dt, \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is by $\frac{1}{K}\le h(z)\le K$ for all $z\in\Sigma$. Putting

$(29), (30)$ in $\langle \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V\rangle_{L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V\rangle_{L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\\
&\geq \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \Big(h^{-1}(z)\left|u_{x_1}\right|^2 + \left|\partial^+ u\right|^2 + h^{-1}(z)\left|v_{x_1}\right|^2 + \left|\partial^- v\right|^2\\
&-2\left|\left\langle h^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z)\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial^+ \\ \partial^- & 0 \end{bmatrix} V\right\rangle\right|\Big)\, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\\
&\geq \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \Bigg(\left(h^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{K}\right)\left|u_{x_1}\right|^2 + \left|\partial^+ u\right|^2 + h^{-1}(z)\left|v_{x_1}\right|^2\\
&-K\left\| h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2_{C^1(\Sigma)}|v|^2 \Bigg)\, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} (\text{by } (29))\\
&\geq 0 + \lambda_{\partial^+}\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}|u|^2\, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{2}{K\varepsilon^2} - K\left\| h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2_{C^1(\Sigma)}\right)\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}|v|^2\ dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\\
&(\text{by definition of } \lambda_{\partial^+} \text{ and } (30))\\
&\geq \min\left\{\lambda_{\partial^+}, \frac{2}{K\varepsilon^2} - K\left\| h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2_{C^1(\Sigma)}\right\}\left(\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\left(|u|^2 + |v|^2\right) dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\right)\\
&= \min\left\{\lambda_{\partial^+}, \frac{2}{K\varepsilon^2} - K\left\| h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2_{C^1(\Sigma)}\right\}\|V\|^2_{L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For general volume form $dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = h^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)\, dvol_\Sigma dx_1$, by (27) there is an extra factor $\frac{1}{K}$ for the lower bound of the L^2 eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$. Hence the result. ■

Suppose $V = (u, v) \in C^\infty(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \cap L^{1,2}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ and $W = (f, g) \in C^\infty(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \cap L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is the operator (19). Then with respect to the induced volume form $dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = h^{1/2}(z)\, d\Sigma dx_1$ on $(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \langle \mathcal{D}V, W\rangle \, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \\
&= \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \left[\left\langle \mathbf{i}\left(h^{-1/2}u_{x_1} + \partial^+ v\right), f\right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbf{i}\left(h^{-1/2}v_{x_1} + \partial^- u\right), g\right\rangle\right] h^{1/2} d\Sigma dx_1 \\
&= \mathbf{i}\int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \left[-\langle u, f_{x_1}\rangle + \left\langle h^{1/2}v, \partial^- f\right\rangle - \left\langle h^{1/2}u, \partial^+ g\right\rangle + \langle v, g_{x_1}\rangle\right] d\Sigma dx_1 \\
&+ \mathbf{i}\int_\Sigma \left(\langle u, f\rangle|_0^\varepsilon - \langle v, g\rangle|_0^\varepsilon\right) d\Sigma \\
&= \mathbf{i}\int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \left[\left\langle u, -f_{x_1} - h^{1/2}\partial^+ g\right\rangle + \left\langle v, g_{x_1} + h^{1/2}\partial^- f\right\rangle\right] d\Sigma dx_1 + \mathbf{i}\int_\Sigma \left(\langle u, f\rangle|_0^\varepsilon - \langle v, g\rangle|_0^\varepsilon\right) d\Sigma \\
&= \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \left\langle u, \mathbf{i}\left(h^{-1/2}f_{x_1} + \partial^+ g\right)\right\rangle - \left\langle v, \mathbf{i}\left(h^{-1/2}g_{x_1} + \partial^- f\right)\right\rangle \left(h^{1/2} d\Sigma dx_1\right) \\
&+ \mathbf{i}\int_\Sigma \left(\langle u, f\rangle|_0^\varepsilon - \langle v, g\rangle|_0^\varepsilon\right) d\Sigma \\
&= \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \langle V, \mathcal{D}W\rangle \, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} + \mathbf{i}\int_\Sigma \left(\langle u, f\rangle|_0^\varepsilon - \langle v, g\rangle|_0^\varepsilon\right) d\Sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

since h is independent of x_1. This is the Green's formula.

When $V \in L^{1,2}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ and $W \in L^{1,2}_+(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$, the above boundary terms are zero so we have

$$\int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \langle \mathcal{D}V, W\rangle \, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \langle V, \mathcal{D}W\rangle \, dvol_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{D}$ is a *self adjoint* operator from $L^{1,2}_\pm(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ to $L^{1,2}_\mp(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ in the sense of [7]. Since $L^{1,2}_+(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$, this implies that $\mathcal{D} : L^{1,2}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \to L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ is surjective if and only if $\ker \mathcal{D}|_{L^{1,2}_+(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} = 0 \subset L^{1,2}_+(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$. By Theorem 12, we have

$$\ker \mathcal{D}|_{L^{1,2}_+(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} = 0 \Leftarrow \ker \partial^+\partial^- = 0 \Leftrightarrow \ker \partial^- = 0,$$

where the last "$\Leftrightarrow$" is because $(\partial^-)^* = \partial^+$. Hence we have obtained the following result.

Theorem 13 *Let λ_{∂^-} and λ_{∂^+} be the first eigenvalue for $\partial^+\partial^-$ and $\partial^-\partial^+$ respectively. Then for $\mathcal{D} : L^{1,2}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \to L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\ker \partial^+ = \{0\} &\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\partial^+} > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \textit{ injective}, \\
\ker \partial^- = \{0\} &\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\partial^-} > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} \textit{ surjective}.
\end{aligned}$$

Especially if both λ_{∂^-}, $\lambda_{\partial^+} > 0$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is one-to-one and onto.

3.3 Schauder Estimates for Linear Model

In this section we will develop the necessary linear theory for the equation

$$\mathcal{D}V = W \text{ on } \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon = \Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon]$$

with a warped product metric $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h} := h(z)\, dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the operator (19) in our linear model. The key issue is to estimate the operator norm of the inverse operator of $\mathcal{D}$ with explicit dependence of ε, as ε goes to zero. When ε is away from zero, say $\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]$, we have ε-free Schauder estimates. For ε small, we overcome the difficulty coming from ε by choosing an appropriate integer k so that $k\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]$ and we extend any solution $V = (u, v)$ on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ to $\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}$ in L^p sense by suitable reflection. However much care will be needed to obtain the C^α-estimate, because after the reflection of W across the boundary of $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, it will no longer be continuous in general. This problem will be resolved in the case (ii) part of the proof of the following theorem.

Establishing estimates in Schauder setting rather than L^p setting is crucial for implicit function theorem related to the associative 3-*form* τ, because in the point estimate of $F'(V) - F'(0)$ in Section 4.2, there are cubic terms of V causing difficulty for quadratic estimate in L^p setting (see Remark 20). The Schauder estimates are harder to obtain than usual Cauchy-Riemann type equations, partly because in our equation (23), the derivative of v only controls the ∂_{x_1} and $\bar{\partial}_z$ derivatives of u, not the full derivatives.

We recall the definition of Hölder norms for functions f on a domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$[f]_{\alpha;\Omega} := \sup_{\substack{x,y\in\Omega \\ x\neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha}, \tag{32}$$

$$[f]_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{C^0(\Omega)} + [f]_{\alpha;\Omega},$$

$$[f]_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{C^1(\Omega)} + [\nabla f]_{\alpha;\Omega}.$$

Using trivilization of the bundle $\mathbb{S}$ over $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, the Hölder norms for sections V of the bundle $\mathbb{S}$ are defined by patching the (finitely many) $C^{1,\alpha}$-coordinate charts on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 14 *Let* $\mathcal{D} : L^{1,2}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \to L^2(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$ *be the operator (23) defined on* $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon = \Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon]$ *with warp product metric* $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h} := h(z)\, dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$. *Suppose that the first eigenvalues for* $\partial^-\partial^+$ *and* $\partial^+\partial^-$ *are bounded below by* $\lambda > 0$. *Then for any* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *and* $p > 3$ *there is a positive constant* $C =$

$C(\alpha,p,n,\lambda,h)$ independent of ε such that for any $V \in C_{-}^{1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ and $W \in C^{\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{D}V = W$$

we have

$$C\,\|V\|_{C_{-}^{1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \leq \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}\,\|W\|_{C^{\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\,.$$

In other words, there exists a right inverse

$$Q_\varepsilon : C^{\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) \to C_{-}^{1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$$

of $\mathcal{D} : C_{-}^{1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) \to C^{\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ such that $\|Q_\varepsilon\| \leq C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}$.

Proof. For the operator (23), it is known (c.f. [7] Theorem 21.5) that the Dirac operators

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm} := \mathcal{D}|_{L_{\pm}^{1,2}} : L_{\pm}^{1,2}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}) \to L^{2}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$$

give well-posed local elliptic boundary problems. Using the orthogonal decompostion $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^{+} \oplus \mathbb{S}^{-}$ we write sections $V = (u,v) \in C_{-}^{\infty}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ and $W = (w_1,w_2) \in C^{\infty}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$, where u, w_1 are sections of $\mathbb{S}^{+}$ and v, w_2 are sections of $\mathbb{S}^{-}$. So the equation $\mathcal{D}V = W$ may be explicitly written as (c.f. equation (23))

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} h^{-1/2}(z)\,u_{x_1} + \partial^{+}v &=& w_1 \\ h^{-1/2}(z)\,v_{x_1} + \partial^{-}u &=& w_2 \end{array}\right. \quad \text{with } v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = 0.$$

To make the exposition more transparent we will assume that $h \equiv 1$, and it is clear from the proof below that the argument works equally well for any $h(z) \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ that $\frac{1}{K} \leq h(z) \leq K$ for some constant $K > 0$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 3/2$ we take an integer $k > 0$ such that

$$k\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]\,,$$

so $\mathrm{A}_{k\varepsilon} = \Sigma \times [0, k\varepsilon]$ is a product region whose second component has length *uniformly bounded below and above* for any ε. We divide the estimates into two cases:

Case (i): Suppose that $w_1 = 0$, then we will have along the boundary $\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, $u_{x_1} = 0$ since $v = 0$. Since $v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = 0$, we can extend v from $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ to $\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}$ by odd reflection along the walls $\Sigma \times \{j\varepsilon\}$ with $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, while still keep

v in $C^{1,\alpha}(A_{k\varepsilon})$. Similarly we consider an even extension of u to $\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}$, then u is still in $C^{1,\alpha}(A_{k\varepsilon})$ since $u_{x_1}|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = 0$. The extension formula is

$$v(x,z) = \begin{cases} -v((2j+2)\varepsilon - x, z) & \text{for } x \in [(2j+1)\varepsilon, (2j+2)\varepsilon] \\ v(x - 2j\varepsilon, z) & \text{for } x \in [2j\varepsilon, (2j+1)\varepsilon] \end{cases},$$

$$u(x,z) = \begin{cases} u((2j+2)\varepsilon - x, z) & \text{for } x \in [(2j+1)\varepsilon, (2j+2)\varepsilon] \\ u(x - 2j\varepsilon, z) & \text{for } x \in [2j\varepsilon, (2j+1)\varepsilon] \end{cases}.$$

This will induce an even extension of w_2 so that the equation $\mathcal{D}V = W$ is satisfied in the C^α sense on $\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}$. The motivation of even and odd extension is to have a ε-independent L^p and Schauder estimate.

By differentiating both sides of the equation $v_{x_1} + \partial^- u = w_2$ with respect to x_1, we obtain an equation which is equivalent to the Dirichlet problem of the second order elliptic equation

$$\begin{aligned} v_{x_1x_1} - \partial^-\partial^+ v &= \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial x_1} \text{ and } v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}} = 0, \\ u_{x_1x_1} - \partial^+\partial^- u &= -\partial^+ w_2, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $\partial^-\partial^+$ is a positive operator. (Since u, v are only in $C^{1,\alpha}$, they should be understood as weak solutions of the above equations). Since the C^α-norm is preserved under the above extension, Schauder and L^p interior estimate for the second order elliptic equation on the region

$$\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon} \subset \mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}$$

would then imply that there are constants $C(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{C}(p)$ independent of ε (because $k\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_2\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}^-)} + \|V\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &= \|w_2\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon}\cup\mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^-)} + \|V\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon}\cup\mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \\ &\geq C(\alpha)\|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \\ &= C(\alpha)\|V\|_{C_-^{1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|w_2\|_{L^p(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon}\cup\mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^-)} + \|V\|_{L^p(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon}\cup\mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \geq 4\tilde{C}(p)\|V\|_{L_-^{1,p}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})}$$

(c.f. [12] section 8.11 Theorem 8.32 and [28] Theorem B.3.2 respectively), so

$$\|w_2\|_{L^p(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}^-)} + \|V\|_{L^p(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \geq \tilde{C}(p)\|V\|_{L_-^{1,p}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}$$

by periodicity of reflection.

Case (ii): suppose that $w_2 = 0$ and $w_1 \in C^\alpha\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+\right)$. Since $v = 0$, this implies that if we consider the odd extension of v and the even extension of u to $\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}$ as in the previous case, then they induce an odd extension of w_1 so that the equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} u_{x_1} + \partial^+ v & = & w_1 \\ v_{x_1} + \partial^- u & = & 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{33}$$

is satisfied in the weak sense on $\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}$. Notice that w_1 does not vanish on $\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ in general, so after the odd extension, w_1 is no longer continuous but still we have $w_1 \in L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)$ for $\forall p$. Since $\mathrm{A}_{k\varepsilon}$ is a proper subdomain in $\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}$ and $k\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]$, the interior L^p-estimate then implies that there is a constant $\tilde{C}(p)$ independent of ε such that

$$\|w_1\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}^-\right)} + \|V\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{2k\varepsilon} \cup \mathtt{A}_{-2k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \geq 4\tilde{C}(p)\, \|V\|_{L^{1,p}_-\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)}.$$

By the periodicity of w_1 and V, that is

$$\|w_1\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}^-\right)} + \|V\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \geq \tilde{C}(p)\, \|V\|_{L^{1,p}_-\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)}. \tag{34}$$

Then we proceed to the L^p estimates of V purely in terms of W. It follows from (34) and Theorem 12 that for $\varepsilon < 3/2$ we have

$$\|W\|_{L^2\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}\right)} \geq C(\lambda)\, \|V\|_{L^2\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}\right)} \text{ for } V \in C^\infty_-\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}\right)$$

with the constant $C(\lambda)$ dependent on λ_{∂^+} but independent of ε. To go from L^2 to L^p, note the following interpolation inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} &= \left(\int_{\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}} |V|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \|V\|^{\frac{p-1}{p}}_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}} |V|\right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \frac{p-1}{p}\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|V\|^{\frac{p-1}{p}\cdot\frac{p}{p-1}}_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{1}{\delta^p}\left(\int_{\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}} |V|\right)^{\frac{p}{p}} \text{ (By Young's Inequality)} \\
&= \frac{p-1}{p}\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|V\|_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + \frac{1}{p}\frac{1}{\delta^p} \|V\|_{L^1\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \\
&\leq C\left(\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|V\|_{L^{1,p}\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + \delta^{-p} \|V\|_{L^2\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)}\right) \text{ (By Sobolev embedding),}
\end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of ε in the last inequality because $k\varepsilon \in [1/2, 3/2]$. Putting this in (34) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{C}(p) - C\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) \|V\|_{L^{1,p}\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} &\leq \|W\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + C\delta^{-p} \|V\|_{L^2\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \\
&\leq \|W\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + \frac{C\delta^{-p}}{C(\lambda)} \|W\|_{L^2\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \\
&\leq \|W\|_{L^p\left(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon}, \mathbb{S}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{C\delta^{-p}}{C(\lambda)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|V\|_{L^{1,p}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \le \left(\tilde{C}(p) - C\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\frac{C\delta^{-p}}{C(\lambda)}\right)\|W\|_{L^{p}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})}. \tag{35}$$

Fixing a small δ such that $\tilde{C}(p) - C\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 0$ and let the constant

$$\tilde{C}(p,n,\lambda) := \left(\tilde{C}(p) - C\delta^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\frac{C\delta^{-p}}{C(\lambda)}\right)$$

which is independent of ε. Then for $p>3$, by Sobolev embedding $C^{1-3/p}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}) \hookrightarrow L^{1,p}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})$ and (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V\|_{C^{1-3/p}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} &= \|V\|_{C^{1-3/p}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \\
&\le C\|V\|_{L^{1,p}_{-}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \le C\cdot\tilde{C}(p,n,\lambda)\|W\|_{L^{p}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \\
&\le C(p,n,\lambda)\|W\|_{C^{0}(\mathtt{A}_{k\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} = C(p,n,\lambda)\|W\|_{C^{0}(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})},
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where the constant $C(p,n,\lambda) = C\cdot\tilde{C}(p,n,\lambda)\left(\frac{3}{2}vol(\Sigma)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is independent of ε.

In the following we give the Schauder estimate of $V=(u,v)$. Differentiating the second equation in (33) with respect to x_1, we get the Dirichlet problem of the second order elliptic equation for (weak solutions) v and u:

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_{x_1x_1} - \partial^{-}\partial^{+}v = -\partial^{-}w_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}} = 0, \\
&u_{x_1x_1} - \partial^{+}\partial^{-}u = \partial_{x_1}w_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

For component v, since $v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}} = 0$, the standard Schauder estimate for second order elliptic equation on radius ε half balls B_{ε} with centers on $\partial\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}$ (c.f. [12] section 8.11 for regularity of weak solutions and section 4.4 equation (4.43)) gives

$$\varepsilon\|w_1\|'_{C^{\alpha}(B_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^{+})} + \|v\|_{C^{0}_{-}(B_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \ge C(\alpha)\|v\|'_{C^{1,\alpha}_{-}\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)},$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon\|w_1\|_{C^{\alpha}(B_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^{+})'} + \|v\|_{C^{0}_{-}(B_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \\
&\ge C(\alpha)\left[\|v\|_{C^{0}_{-}\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)} + \varepsilon\|\nabla v\|_{C^{0}_{-}\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)} + \varepsilon^{1+\alpha}[\nabla v]_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

hence

$$\varepsilon\|w_1\|_{C^{\alpha}(B_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^{+})} + \|v\|_{C^{0}_{-}(B_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S})} \ge C(\alpha)\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}\|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_{-}\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}, \tag{39}$$

where the constant $C(\alpha)$ is independent of ε. This is because in [12] chapter 4, the *non-dimensional* Schauder norm $\|f\|'_{C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$ is defined as

$$\|f\|'_{C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} = \Sigma_{j=0}^{k} d^j \left|D^j u\right|_{0;\Omega} + d^{k+\alpha}\left[D^k u\right]_{\alpha;\Omega}, \tag{40}$$

where d is the diameter of Ω. Covering $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ by such radius-ε half balls and radius-$\varepsilon/2$ full balls centered on meridian $\Sigma \times \{\varepsilon/2\}$ and take supremum on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\varepsilon \|w_1\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + \|v\|_{C^0_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \geq C C(\alpha)\, \varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})}. \tag{41}$$

By combining this with the fact that $v|_{\partial \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon = 0}$, and the definition of the Schauder norm, we have

$$\|v\|_{C^0_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \leq \|v\|_{C^{1-3/p}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \varepsilon^{1-3/p} \leq C(p,n,\lambda) \|w_1\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{3}{p}}. \tag{42}$$

Plug these into (41) we obtain

$$C(\alpha) \|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \leq \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \|w_1\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + C(p,n,\lambda) \|w_1\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+\alpha\right)}. \tag{43}$$

For component u, its boundary value is nonzero so we can not directly apply the above inequalities as v. First we derive the C^0 estimate of u, using that $\partial^+\partial^-$ has *trivial kernal* on Σ. Consider the section $\overline{u}$ of the bundle $\mathbb{S}^+ \to \Sigma$ defined as

$$\overline{u}(z) = \int_0^\varepsilon u(x_1, z)\, dx_1.$$

From equation (33) we have

$$\partial^- \overline{u}(z) = -\int_0^\varepsilon h^{-1/2}(z)\, \partial_{x_1} v(x_1, z)\, dx_1 = h^{-1/2}(z)\left(v(0,z) - v(\varepsilon, z)\right) = 0.$$

But from the assumption that $\partial^+\partial^-$ has trivial kernal on Σ we get that

$$\overline{u}(z) \equiv 0.$$

Let $\operatorname{Re}\overline{u}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\overline{u}$ be the real and imaginary parts of the section $\overline{u}$ (notice that $\mathbb{S}^+$ is a complex line bundle). Then for any fixed $z \in \Sigma$,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} u(x_1, z)\, dx_1 = 0 = \int_0^\varepsilon \operatorname{Im} u(x_1, z)\, dx_1.$$

By mean value theorem for the $\mathbb{R}$-valued function $\operatorname{Re} u\left(x_{1,} z\right)$, there exists $s \in [0, \varepsilon]$ depending on z, such $\operatorname{Re} u\left(s, z\right) = 0$. By (36) and the definition of the Schauder norm we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\operatorname{Re} u\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} &\leq \left\|\operatorname{Re} u\right\|_{C^{1-3/p}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \\ &\leq \left\|V\right\|_{C_{-}^{1-3/p}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \\ &\leq C\left(p, n, \lambda\right) \left\|w_{1}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{3}{p}},\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\left\|\operatorname{Im} u\right\|_{C_{-}^{0}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^{+}\right)} \leq C\left(p, n, \lambda\right) \left\|w_{1}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{3}{p}}.$$

Combining these we get

$$\left\|u\right\|_{C_{-}^{0}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}^{+}\right)} \leq C\left(p, n, \lambda\right) \left\|w_{1}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{1-\frac{3}{p}}. \tag{44}$$

Now we are ready to derive the Schauder estimate of u. Using the equation

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} u_{x_{1}} + \partial^{+} v &=& w_{1} \\ v_{x_{1}} + \partial^{-} u &=& 0 \end{array}\right. \quad \text{with } v|_{\partial \mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}} = 0,$$

the Schauder estimate of $u_{x_{1}}$ and $\partial^{-} u$ can be reduced to that of v. For the full covariant derivative $\nabla_{\Sigma} u$ on Σ, we observe that

$$\left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \leq \left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{z} + \left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{x_{1}}$$

where $\left[\cdot\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{z}$ and $\left[\cdot\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{x_{1}}$ as defined in (32) are the Schauder α-components for the Σ and $[0, \varepsilon]$ directions respectively. For $\left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{z}$, on each slice $\Sigma_{s} := \Sigma \times \{s\}$, we use the elliptic estimate on compact closed surface Σ_{s} to control $\nabla_{\Sigma} u$ by $\partial^{-} u$,

$$\left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\Sigma_{s},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{z} \leq \left|u\right|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Sigma_{s},\mathbb{S}\right)} \leq C\left(\left|\partial^{-} u\right|_{C^{\alpha}\left(\Sigma_{s},\mathbb{S}\right)} + \left|u\right|_{C^{0}\left(\Sigma_{s},\mathbb{S}\right)}\right),$$

and then take the sup for $0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{z} &\leq C\left(\left|\partial^{-} u\right|_{C^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} + \left|u\right|_{C^{0}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}\right) \\ &= C\left(\left|v_{x_{1}}\right|_{C^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} + \left|u\right|_{C^{0}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Since the estimate for $v_{x_{1}}$ is known, the only term left to estimate is $\left[\nabla_{\Sigma} u\right]_{\alpha;\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)}^{x_{1}}$. For this we reduce u to the zero boundary value case by introducing

$$\widetilde{u} = u - \rho\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\varepsilon}\right) u\left(\varepsilon, z\right) - \left(1 - \rho\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) u\left(0, z\right),$$

where $\rho : [0,1] \to [0,1]\,, \rho(0) = 0, \rho(1) = 1$ is a smooth cut-off function that $\|\rho\|_{C^{2,\alpha}[0,1]} \leq C$. Then $\widetilde{u}$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{u}_{x_1 x_1} - \partial^+ \partial^- \widetilde{u} = \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{w_1} + g \quad \textit{and} \quad \widetilde{u}|_{\partial \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} = 0,$$

where

$$\widetilde{w_1} = w_1 + \rho\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\left(u_{x_1}(\varepsilon, z) - u_{x_1}(0, z)\right) + \left(w_1(0, z) - w_1(\varepsilon, z)\right)\right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g = {} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \rho''\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left[u(0, z) - u(\varepsilon, z)\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho'\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left[\left(u_{x_1}(0, z) - u_{x_1}(\varepsilon, z)\right) + \left(w_1(\varepsilon, z) - w_1(0, z)\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the above derivation of $\widetilde{w_1}$ and g we have used the equation

$$\partial^+ \partial^- u = u_{x_1 x_1} - \partial_{x_1} w_1$$

from (37). By [12] section 4.4 equation (4.46) we have on radius-ε half ball B_ε,

$$\|\widetilde{u}\|_{C^0_-(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{w_1}\|'_{C^\alpha(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + \varepsilon^2 |g|_{C^0_-(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \geq C(\alpha) \|\widetilde{u}\|'_{C^{1,\alpha}_-\left(B_{\varepsilon/2}, \mathbb{S}\right)}.$$

By the definition of the norm $\|f\|'_{C^{k,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)}$ in (40), this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\widetilde{u}\|_{C^0_-(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{w_1}\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + \varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \left[\widetilde{w_1}\right]_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + \varepsilon^2 |g|_{C^0_-(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \\ & \geq C(\alpha) \left[\|\widetilde{u}\|_{C^0_-\left(B_{\varepsilon/2}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla \widetilde{u}\|_{C^0_-\left(B_{\varepsilon/2}, \mathbb{S}\right)} + \varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \left[\nabla \widetilde{u}\right]_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2}, \mathbb{S}\right)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\widetilde{u}\|_{C^0_-(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{w_1}\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + \varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \left[\nabla \widetilde{w_1}\right]_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^+)} + \varepsilon^2 |g|_{C^0_-(B_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})} \\ & \geq C(\alpha)\, \varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \left[\nabla_\Sigma \widetilde{u}\right]_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2}, \mathbb{S}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

We are going to get rid of the tilde terms in the above inequlity by the following rule: The terms with tilde differ from the original terms by w_1, u and their derivatives. If the derivative is with respect to x_1 or ∂^-, then we reduce it to the previous estimates of v using (33); If it is with respect to ∇_Σ, the full derivative on Σ, then we use elliptic estimate on each slice Riemann surface $\{t\} \times \Sigma$. Notice that all ε powers are coupled with the order of derivatives so all terms are dimensionless, and after the enlargements the estimate of u is of correct ε power. The following is the precise calculation:

From the definition of $g, \widetilde{w_1}$ and $\widetilde{u}$ we can easily check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varepsilon^2 g\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq C\left(\|u\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\left\|u_{x_1}\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right) \\
|\widetilde{w_1}|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq 3\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \left\|u_{x_1}\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \\
[\widetilde{w_1}]^{x_1}_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq C\left([w_1]^{x_1}_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\left\|u_{x_1}\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right) \\
[\widetilde{w_1}]^{z}_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq C\left([w_1]^{z}_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + [u_{x_1}]^{z}_{\alpha;(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right) \\
\|\widetilde{u}\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq 2\|u\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \\
\|\nabla_\Sigma \widetilde{u}\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq 2\|\nabla_\Sigma u\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}, \\
\|\nabla_{x_1} \widetilde{u}\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq C\left(\|\nabla_{x_1} u\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right) \\
[\nabla_\Sigma u]^{x_1}_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)} &\leq C\left([\nabla_\Sigma \widetilde{u}]^{x_1}_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\|\nabla_\Sigma u\|_{C^0\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}\right). \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence putting these back to (47) and (46), and noticing that from (38) we can control $\left\|u_{x_1}\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}$ and $\|\nabla_\Sigma u\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}$ that appeared in the above inequalities by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\left\|u_{x_1}\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} &\leq C\left(\varepsilon\left\|\partial^+ v\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right) \text{ (by (33))} \\
&\leq C\left[\left(\|v\|_{C^0(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right) + \varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^0(B_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right] \text{ (by (38))} \\
&\leq C\left(\|v\|_{C^0(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\right), \qquad (49)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\|\nabla_\Sigma u\|_{C^0(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\nabla_\Sigma u\|_{C^\alpha(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon\left(\left\|\partial^- u\right\|_{C^\alpha(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})} + \|u\|_{C^0(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})}\right) \text{ (by ellipticity on } \Sigma_s\text{)} \\
&= C\left(\varepsilon\left\|v_{x_1}\right\|_{C^\alpha(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\|u\|_{C^0(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})}\right) \text{ (by (33))} \\
&\leq C\left(\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\|v\|_{C^0(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon\|u\|_{C^0(\Sigma_s,\mathbb{S})}\right) \text{ (by (38))}, \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

then from(48) we get the estimate for $[\nabla_\Sigma u]^{x_1}_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}$:

$$
\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}^+)} + \varepsilon\|u\|_{C^0_-(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} + \varepsilon^{-\alpha}\|v\|_{C^0_-(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})} \geq CC(\alpha)\,\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}[\nabla_\Sigma u]^{x_1}_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}.
$$

Combining (45) about $[\nabla_\Sigma u]^z_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}$ we have the full control of $[\nabla_\Sigma u]_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}$:

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}+)}+\varepsilon\left\|u\right\|_{C^0_-(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}+\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|v\right\|_{C^0_-(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\geq CC\left(\alpha\right)\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}\left[\nabla_\Sigma u\right]_{\alpha;\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}.$$

Combining (49) and (50) about $\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{C^0}$, we get

$$\varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}+)}+\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}\left\|u\right\|_{C^0_-(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}+\left\|v\right\|_{C^0_-(\mathrm{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\geq CC\left(\alpha\right)\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha}\left\|u\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-\left(B_{\varepsilon/2},\mathbb{S}\right)}. \tag{51}$$

Covering $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ by radius-ε half balls B_ε and radius-$\varepsilon/2$ full balls centered on meridian $\Sigma\times\{\varepsilon/2\}$ and then taking supremum on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, we derive

$$\varepsilon\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}+)}+\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}\left\|u\right\|_{C^0_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}+\left\|v\right\|_{C^0_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\geq CC\left(\alpha\right)\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha}\left\|u\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}. \tag{52}$$

Notice here v is involved on the left side to control u, compared to similar inequality (41) about v which need no u. This is partly because in second order elliptic equations (37) of u and v, the inhomogeneous term $\partial_{x_1}w_1$ is "more discontinous" than $-\partial^- w_1$, for the (weak) derivative is taking in x_1 direction along which w_1 is discontinuous.

Plugging these into (41) again we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}C\left(\alpha\right)\left\|u\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}&\leq\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}+)}+\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\left\|u\right\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}+\varepsilon^{-(1+2\alpha)}\left\|v\right\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\\&\leq\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S}+)}+C\left(p,n,\lambda\right)\left\|w_1\right\|_{C^0(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates (53) and (43) also hold when $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ is equipped with a warp product metric $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h}$ with $h\left(z\right)\in C^\infty\left(\Sigma\right)$ such that $\frac{1}{K}\leq h\left(z\right)\leq K$, up to the constant factor $K>0$ on the right hand sides of (53) and (43), because they are derived by C^0 estimates (42), (44), and local Schauder estimates (39), (51) for u,v on half balls $B_\varepsilon\left(p\right)$ and $B_{\varepsilon/2}\left(p\right)$ for $p\in\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon=\left\{\left(0,z\right),\left(\varepsilon,z\right)|z\in\Sigma\right\}$, while when $\varepsilon\to0$, the function $h\left(z\right)|_{B_\varepsilon(p)}$ converges to constant function $h\left(p\right)$ uniformly in C^0 norm in $B_\varepsilon\left(p\right)$, and correspondingly the second order equations of u, v converge to those with constant coefficients as above. This is similar to "frozen coefficient method" in Schauder theory of second order elliptic equations.

Finally we estimate the right inverse bound of $\mathcal{D}$ in Schauder setting. Combining the $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates (53) and (43) for u and v, we have

$$C\left(\alpha,p,n,\lambda\right)\left\|V\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\leq\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}\left\|W\right\|_{C^\alpha(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})},$$

where $C(\alpha, p, n, \lambda) = C(\alpha)(1 + C(p, n, \lambda))^{-1}$ is independent on ε. Hence the result. ■

From now on we fix $p > 3$ sufficiently large and $0 < \alpha < 1$ sufficiently small such that

$$0 < \frac{3}{p} + 3\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$$

This will be necessary for the implicit function theorem in the next section.

4 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let $C_0 \subset M$ be a **compact** coassociative submanifold. Suppose that n is a normal vector field on C_0 such that its corresponding self-dual two form,

$$\eta_0 = \iota_n \Omega \in \wedge_+^2 (C_0)$$

is harmonic with respect to the induced metric. So η_0 is actually a symplectic form on the complement of the zero set $Z(\eta_0)$ of η_0 in C_0. Furthermore

$$J_n(u) := |n|^{-1} n \times u \tag{54}$$

defines an almost complex structure J_n on the $C_0 \backslash Z(\eta_0)$. Since deformations of coassociative submanifolds are unobstructed, we may assume that there is an one parameter family of coassociative submanifolds $\varphi : [0, \varepsilon] \times C_0 \longrightarrow M$ which corresponds to integrating out the normal vector field n, that is

$$\left. \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = n \in \Gamma\left(C_0, N_{C_0/M}\right) \ .$$

For ε small, let

$$\mathtt{C} := [0, \varepsilon] \times C_0, \ \mathcal{C} := \varphi(\mathtt{C}), \text{ and } C_t := \varphi(\{t\} \times C_0).$$

then $\mathcal{C}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathtt{C}$, and all coassociative submanifolds C_t's are mutually disjoint, where $\varphi(t, \cdot)$ is an embedding for $\forall t \in [0, \varepsilon]$. Let

$$g_{\mathtt{C}} := dt^2 \oplus g|_{C_0}$$

be the product metric on $\mathtt{C}$ and $\exp^{\mathtt{C}}$ be the exponential map associated to the metric $g_{\mathtt{C}}$.

In the remaining part of this article we assume that η_0 is nowhere vanishing on C_0, that is (C_0, η_0) is a symplectic four manifold. We are going to establish a correspondence between the regular J_n-holomorphic curves Σ in C_0 and the existence of instantons with coassociative boundary conditions.

Given such a $\Sigma \subset C_0$, we denote

$$\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon := [0,\varepsilon] \times \Sigma \text{ and } \mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon := \varphi\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon\right)$$

then $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ is close to be associative in the sense that $\left|\tau|_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\right| \leq K\varepsilon$ for some constant K depending on the geometry of the family $\{C_t\}$ and M for small ε. This is due to the smooth dependence of $\tau\left(\varphi\left(t,z\right)\right)$ on $(t,z) \in [0,\varepsilon] \times \Sigma$, and that

$$\tau|_{\varphi(0,\Sigma)} = \tau|_\Sigma = 0,$$

since Σ is a J_n-holomorphic curve and the tangent spaces $TM|_\Sigma$ are associative.

We want to perturb A'_ε to become an honest associative submanifold in M. In order to apply the implicit function theorem to obtain the desired perturbation for $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$, we need the estimates for the linearized problem to behave well as ε approaches zero. Notice that for small ε, the induced metric on $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ is close to be a warped product metric

$$g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h} := h\left(z\right)dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$$

on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, where $h\left(z\right) = \left|n\left(z\right)\right|^2$ is the length square of the normal vector n. Namely

$$\left(1 - K\varepsilon\right) g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h} \leq \varphi^* g_M \leq \left(1 + K\varepsilon\right) g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h}$$

for some uniform constant K. This is because for any vectors $u, v \in T_{(t,z)}A_{\varepsilon_0}$ and $t \in [0,\varepsilon_0]$, the function $G : [0,\varepsilon_0] \times TA_{\varepsilon_0} \times TA_{\varepsilon_0} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$G\left(t,u,v\right) := g_M\left(d\varphi_t\left(u\right), d\varphi_t\left(v\right)\right)$$

is smooth with respect to (t,u,v), bilinear in (u,v), and

$$\begin{aligned}
&G\left(0, TA_{\varepsilon_0}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}, TA_{\varepsilon_0}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}\right) \\
&= g_M\left(d\varphi_t|_{t=0}\left(TA_{\varepsilon_0}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}\right), d\varphi_t|_{t=0}\left(TA_{\varepsilon_0}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}\right)\right) \\
&= g_{\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon_0},h}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the warp product metric on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ and the corresponding operator $\mathcal{D}$, the estimates for its inverse have been established in Theorem 14 in previous section. So the linearization of the instanton equation on A'_ε can be compared with $\mathcal{D}$.

4.1 Linearization of Instanton Equation and Comparison with $\mathcal{D}$

To prove the main theorem (Theorem 22), we will construct a map

$$F_\varepsilon : C_-^{m,\alpha}\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) \to C^{m-1,\alpha}\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$$

such that the solution to the instanton equation $F_\varepsilon(V) = 0$ will give rise to an associative submanifold (instanton) with boundary lying on $C_0 \cup C_\varepsilon$. Here $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ is the normal bundle of $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ in M in differential topology sense but not in Riemannian geometry sense, $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M} := d\widetilde{\exp}\cdot\mathbb{S}$, where $\widetilde{\exp} : \mathbb{S} \to M$ will be defined below. The $C^{m,\alpha}\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$ and $C_-^{m,\alpha}\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$ are defined by

$$C^m\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) := \left\{ V \in \Gamma\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) \middle| \, \|V\|_{C^m\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} < +\infty \right\},$$

$$C_-^m\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) := \left\{ V \in \Gamma\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) \middle| \begin{array}{c} \|V\|_{C^m\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} < +\infty, \text{ and} \\ V|_{\varphi(0\times\Sigma)} \subset TC_0, V|_{\varphi(\varepsilon\times\Sigma)} \subset TC_\varepsilon. \end{array} \right\}.$$

We construct a three dimensional submanifold $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon \subset M$ by flowing Σ along with C_t and an identification $d\widetilde{\exp}$ between the normal bundle $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ and the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \to \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, this makes the linear theory developed in the previous subsection applicable. For this we need to define the exponential-like map $\widetilde{\exp} : \mathbb{S} \to M$ carefully so that $\widetilde{\exp}V$ always satisfies the coassociative boundary condition for any section $V \in C_-^{m,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$. To do that, we need to deal with those normal directions to $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ inside $\mathcal{C} = \varphi([0,\varepsilon] \times C_0)$ and perpendicular to $\mathcal{C}$ separately. Then we compare the linearization $DF_\varepsilon(0)$ with the operator $\mathcal{D}$ in Section 3.1 by the following diagram to get ε-dependent bound of its right inverse:

$$\begin{tikzcd} C_-^{m,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{D}"] \arrow[d, "d\widetilde{\exp}"'] & C_-^{m-1,\alpha}(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \arrow[d, "d\widetilde{\exp}"] \\ C_-^{m,\alpha}\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) \arrow[r, "DF_\varepsilon(0)"] & C^{m-1,\alpha}\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) \end{tikzcd}$$

Now we are ready to describe our construction of $\widetilde{\exp}$:

1. *We identify* $N_{\Sigma/C}$ *and* $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}$ *to bundles* $\mathbb{S}^\pm$ *over* Σ *and form spinor bundle* $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$. We denote the isomorphisms by

$$f^+ : N_{\Sigma/C} \simeq \mathbb{S}^+,$$
$$f^- : N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_\Sigma \simeq N_{\Sigma/C} \otimes \wedge^{0,1}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{S}^-,$$

where the $N_{\Sigma/C}$ and $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma}$ are Hermitian line bundles over Σ whose complex structures on fibers are both $J_n := \frac{n}{|n|}\times$, and the complex line bundle isomorphism $\overline{N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma}} \simeq N_{\Sigma/C} \otimes \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}(\Sigma)$ was established in Lemma 3.2 of [16] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mu_X} &\simeq \nu_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\partial Y \simeq \nu_X^{1,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{K_{\partial Y}} \\ \overline{\mu_X} &\ni a \times y \longleftrightarrow a \otimes y \in \nu_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\partial Y \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \neq a \in \nu_X$ and $y \in T\partial Y$. The translation between their and our notations is the following:

$$\partial Y, X, \nu_X, \mu_X \longleftrightarrow \Sigma, C, N_{\Sigma/C}, N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma},$$

where the complex structure on fibers of ν_X and μ_X is $u\times$ for a unit normal vector field u on X, corresponding to our $J_n = \frac{n}{|n|}\times$, $\overline{L}$ is the conjugate complex bundle of L, and $K_{\partial Y}$ is the canonical line bundle of ∂Y. We will still keep the notation $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma}$ rather than use $\overline{N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma}}$, but *emphasize that* f^- *is complex conjugate linear* and $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma} \simeq \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}\left(N_{\Sigma/C}\right)$ as real vector bundles. The twisted Dirac operator on $N_{\Sigma/C} \oplus N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ from G_2 Clifford multiplication $\times$ is a real operator and is not affected.

Our assumption that Σ is regular implies that

$$\dim H^1\left(\Sigma, N_{\Sigma/C}\right) = \dim H_{\overline{\partial}}^{0,1}\left(N_{\Sigma/C}\right) = 0$$

by Dolbeault isomorphism. Since the dimension for the Seiberg-Witten moduli is 0, (also our counting problem is for instanton moduli space of index 0, while the index is twice the Fredholm index of the J-holomorphic curve Σ in C in our case, by the index formula for instantons with 2 boundary components in [16]), so

$$\dim H^0\left(\Sigma, N_{\Sigma/C}\right) = \dim H^1\left(\Sigma, N_{\Sigma/C}\right) = 0.$$

Hence for

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\partial} &: \Omega^0\left(N_{\Sigma/C}\right) \to \Omega^{0,1}\left(N_{\Sigma/C}\right) \\ \overline{\partial}^* &: \Omega^{0,1}\left(N_{\Sigma/C}\right) \to \Omega^0\left(N_{\Sigma/C}\right) \end{aligned}$$

in Section 3.1, $\ker \overline{\partial}$ and $\ker \overline{\partial}^*$ are trivial. Moreover by Theorem 13, the linear operator

$$\mathcal{D} : L_-^{1,2}\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}\right) \to L^2\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}\right)$$

is one-to-one and onto.

2. *We define the spinor bundle* $\mathbb{S}$ *on* $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ *as a Cartesian product* of the above one with $[0,\varepsilon]$. More precisely, $\mathbb{S}$ is the pullback of the bundle $\varphi^* N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}$ on Σ by the projection map $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma$. Thus we obtain a Cartesian product

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{S} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \varphi^* N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma} \arrow[d] \\ \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \Sigma \end{tikzcd}, \tag{55}$$

Using the metric g_M on M we have an orthogonal decomposition $N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M} = N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/\mathcal{C}} \oplus N_{\mathcal{C}/M}$, which gives the orthogonal decomposition

$$\left(f^+, f^-\right) : \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^- \simeq \pi^*\left(\varphi^* N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/\mathcal{C}}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}\right) \oplus \pi^*\left(\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}\right),$$

where f^+ and f^- are bundle isomorphisms of the corresponding summands in step 1. The spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \to \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ coincides with the one in Section 3.1 because $\varphi\left(\{0\}\times\Sigma\right) \subset M$ consists of associative points, namely $\tau|_{\varphi(\{0\}\times\Sigma)} \equiv 0$.

3. *We define the topological normal bundle* $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ by the following bundle map I from $\mathbb{S}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^- \arrow[r, "I=\left(I^+,I^-\right)"] \arrow[d] & N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/\mathcal{C}} \oplus N^{top}_{\mathcal{C}/M} = N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M} \arrow[d] \\ \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & \mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon \end{tikzcd}$$

Fixing a $0 \le t \le \varepsilon$, for $u \in \mathbb{S}^+|_{\{t\}\times\Sigma\subset\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$ and $v \in \mathbb{S}^-|_{\{t\}\times\Sigma\subset\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$, since each bundle $\mathbb{S}^\pm \to \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ is a Cartesian product by (55), we may treat f^+u as a section of $N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/\mathcal{C}}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma} \simeq N_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon/\mathtt{C}}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}$ and $f^-\cdot v$ as a section of $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I^+\left(u\right) &:= d\varphi\cdot f^+u \in N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/\mathcal{C}}|_{\varphi(\{t\}\times\Sigma)} \\ I^-\left(v\right) &:= T_\gamma\cdot f^-v \in N^{top}_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\varphi(\{t\}\times\Sigma)} \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

are obtained by the *tangent map* $d\varphi : T\mathtt{C} \to T\mathcal{C}$ (NOT $d\varphi_t : T\Sigma \to T\mathcal{C}$) and the *parallel transport* T_γ along the path $\gamma := \varphi\left([0,t]\times\{z\}\right) \subset \mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ by Levi-Civita connection in M respectively. Especially restricting on $\{t\}\times\Sigma$ we have

$$I^+\left(u\right) := d\varphi|_{\{t\}\times\Sigma}\cdot f^+u \in TC_t|_{\varphi(\{t\}\times\Sigma)} \subset N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/\mathcal{C}}|_{\varphi(\{t\}\times\Sigma)}.$$

The reason for this construction is to make sure that $(I^+, I^-) : \mathbb{S} \to N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ preserves the boundary condition, namely $\widetilde{\exp}V|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} \subset C_0 \cup C_\varepsilon$ for any section $V \in C^{m,\alpha}_-\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}\right)$.

4. *We introduce the exponential-like map* $\widetilde{\exp}:\mathbb{S}\to M$ as following: for $x\in\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\widetilde{\exp}:\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}^+\oplus\mathbb{S}^- & \longrightarrow & M\\ V(x)=(u(x),v(x)) & & \exp^M_{\gamma_{u(x)}(1)}\left(T_{\gamma_{u(x)}}f^-(v(x))\right)\end{array}\tag{57}$$

where $\exp^M$ is the exponential map with respect to the metric g on M, and

$$T_{\gamma_{u(x)}}:N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\gamma_{u(x)}(0)}\longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\gamma_{u(x)}(1)}$$

is the parallel transport with respect to the metric g on M along the curve $\gamma_{u(x)}$ that

$$\gamma_{u(x)}(s):=\varphi\left(\exp^{\mathtt{C}}_x sf^+(u(x))\right),$$

for $x=(t,z)\in\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ and $0\le s\le 1$. Since in step 2 the f^+ have been extended to Cartesian product,

$$f^+(u(0,z))\in N_{\Sigma/C_0}\text{ (as in step 1)}\Longrightarrow f^+(u(t,z))\in N_{\{t\}\times\Sigma/\{t\}\times C_0}.$$

Therefore

$$\gamma_{u(x)}(s)\subset\varphi(\{t\}\times C_0)=C_t.$$

It follows from our construction that for any $V\in C^m_-(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})$ and $x\in\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon=\{0,\varepsilon\}\times\Sigma$, $\widetilde{\exp}V$ *satisfies the boundary condition* $\widetilde{\exp}V|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\subset C_0\cup C_\varepsilon$, because

$$\left(\widetilde{\exp}V\right)(x)=\exp^M_{\gamma_{u(x)}(\{0,1\})}(0)=\gamma_{u(x)}(\{0,1\})\subset\varphi(\{0,\varepsilon\}\times C_0)=C_0\cup C_\varepsilon.$$

By the definition of $\widetilde{\exp}$, it is easy to see

$$\widetilde{\exp}|_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}=\varphi,\text{ and }d\widetilde{\exp}|_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}=\left(I^+,I^-\right).$$

5. *We have the splitting of* $TM|_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}=T\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon+N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ by pushing forward the canonical horizontal and vertical splitting at the zero section $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ in $\mathbb{S}$ by $d\widetilde{\exp}|_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$. Namely for $q\in\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}T_q\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon\oplus\mathbb{S}(q) & \overset{d\widetilde{\exp}}{\longrightarrow} & T_{\varphi(q)}\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon+N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}(\varphi(q))\\ \| & & \|\\ T_q\mathbb{S} & \overset{d\widetilde{\exp}}{\longrightarrow} & T_{\varphi(q)}M\end{array}\tag{58}$$

where the above "+" is subspace direct sum in $T_{\varphi(q)}M$, not necessarily an orthogonal decomposition with respect to the metric g.

In summary, the topological normal bundle $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ is the image of the bundle $\mathbb{S}$ under the map I, which maps the base by diffeomorphism φ, fibers $\mathbb{S}^+$ by $d\varphi$, and fibers $\mathbb{S}^-$ by parallel transport along $\gamma=\varphi\left([0,t]\times z\right)$ by Levi-Civita connection in M, after the identification of $\mathbb{S}$ with $N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\varphi(\{0\}\times\Sigma)}$ on Σ. The fibers of $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ in general is not orthogonal to $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$, so $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$ is only a normal bundle in differential topology sense. The deformations of $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ in M (or in $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$) with coassociative boundary condition is translated to sections $V=(u,v)$ of the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}^+\oplus\mathbb{S}^-\to\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ with boundary condition $v|_{\partial\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}=0$ by the map $d\widetilde{\exp}$. So we can use the linear model in Section 3.1 to study deformations of almost instantons $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ in M.

Next we define the nonlinear map F_ε with the important property that elements in $F_\varepsilon^{-1}(0)$ with small norm correspond to associative submanifolds in M near A'_ε for small ε. For section $V=(u,v)$ of $\mathbb{S}$ that $\left|f^-(v)\right|$ is smaller than the injective radius δ_0 of M, let

$$\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon(V):=\left(\widetilde{\exp}V\right)(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon),$$

then $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon(V)$ is a submanifold of M nearby $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$. Let

$$\begin{gathered}F_\varepsilon: C^{m,\alpha}_{-}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\to C^{m-1,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\\ F_\varepsilon(V)=*_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\circ\perp_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\circ\left(T_V\circ(\exp V)^*\tau\right)\end{gathered}\tag{59}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\perp_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}&: TM|_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\to N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M} &&\text{projection by splitting (58) of } TM\\ *_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}&:\Omega^3\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon\right)\to\Omega^0\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon\right) &&\text{contraction by volume form } dvol_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$F(V)=T_V\circ(\exp V)^*\tau$$

is defined as (6) in the proof of McLean's Theorem (Theorem 9). Let

$$P_\varepsilon:=*_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\circ\perp_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}:\Gamma\left(TM|_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}\right)\otimes\Omega^3\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon\right)\to\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right),$$

then

$$F_\varepsilon(V)=P_\varepsilon\circ F(V).$$

Both $\perp_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}$ and $*_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}$are linear operators between finite dimensional spaces, so $\|P_\varepsilon\|\leq C$, where the constant C only depends on φ and is uniform for all $0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$. We notice that P_ε does not involve V so the essential part of $F_\varepsilon(V)$ is $F(V)$. By Proposition 8, if $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ is sufficiently close to be associative, then there exists $\delta>0$, such that for $\|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}<\delta$,

$$F_\varepsilon(V)=0\ \Leftrightarrow F(V)=0\Leftrightarrow\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon(V)\ \text{ associative.}$$

Proposition 15 *For any section* V_1 *of* $\mathbb{S}$ *and section* $V_2 := \widetilde{d\exp} \cdot V_1$ *of* $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$*, we have*

$$\left\| F'(0)\, V_2 - \left(\widetilde{d\exp} \circ \mathcal{D}V_1\right) \otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon} \right\|_{C^\alpha(A'_\varepsilon)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \left\| V_1 \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}, \quad (60)$$

and

$$\left\| F'_\varepsilon(0)\, V_2 - \left(\widetilde{d\exp}\right) \circ \mathcal{D}V_1 \right\|_{C^\alpha\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \left\| V_1 \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}, \quad (61)$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ *is the operator on* $\mathbb{S}$ *in linear model, and the constant* C *is uniform for all* ε*.*

Proof. The idea to compare $F'(0)\, V_2$ and $\mathcal{D}V_1$ using a *good* local frame $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$ near associative points. For each

$$p := \varphi(0, z) \in \Sigma_0 := \varphi(\{0\} \times \Sigma) \subset A'_\varepsilon,$$

T_pM is associative. We introduce compact neighborhoods $U_\varepsilon(p)$ that

$$U_\varepsilon(p) := \varphi\left([0,\varepsilon] \quad \times \bar{B}_\varepsilon(z)\right),$$

where $\bar{B}_\varepsilon(p)$ is the closed ε-disc on Σ centered at p. Then $U_\varepsilon(p)$ covers A'_ε when p runs through Σ_0. Both $F'(0)\, V_2$ and $\mathcal{D}V_1$ are globally defined on A'_ε and A_ε respectively. We are going to compare them in local coordinates of $U_\varepsilon(p)$.

For each $p \in \Sigma_0$, the tangent space $T_p\Sigma_0 \subset T_pM$ is associative. By Caylay-Dickson construction, we may choose orthonormal frame $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$ for T_pM satisfying standard $\cdot, \times$ relation as the basis of $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
T\Sigma_0 &= \operatorname{span}\{W_2, W_3\}, \\
TA'_\varepsilon|_{\Sigma_0} &= \operatorname{span}\{W_1, W_2, W_3\} \simeq \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}, \text{ where } W_1 := W_2 \times W_3, \\
N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\Sigma_0} &= \operatorname{span}\{W_4, W_5, W_6, W_7\} \simeq \mathbb{H}, \ W_{i+4} := W_i \times W_4 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, \\
N_{\Sigma\,0/C_0} &= \operatorname{span}\{W_4, W_5\}, \text{ and } N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0} = \operatorname{span}\{W_6, W_7\} \\
\nabla^{\Sigma_0}_{W_i} W_j(p) &= \ \nabla^{N_{\Sigma\,0/C}}_{W_i} W_k(p) = \nabla^{N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|\Sigma_0}_{W_i} W_{k+2}(p) = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i, j \leq 3, \ 4 \leq k \leq 5,
\end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where ∇^{Σ_0},$\nabla^{N_{\Sigma\,0/C_0}}$ and $\nabla^{N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|\Sigma_0}$ are projections of Levi-Civita connection ∇ on M to $T\Sigma_0, N_{\Sigma\,0/C_0}$ and $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0}$ respectively with respect to the orthogonal decomposition

$$TM|_{\Sigma_0} = T\Sigma_0 \oplus N_{\Sigma_0/C} \oplus N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0} \oplus \operatorname{span}\{W_1\}|_{\Sigma_0}.$$

(One may first require $\nabla^{\Sigma_0}_{W_i} W_j (p) = \nabla^{N_{\Sigma\, 0/C}}_{W_i} W_4 (p) = 0$ for $2 \leq i, j \leq 3$, and then use cross product to show all other covariant derivatives in (62) vanishe at p). Notice that $2 \leq i, j \leq 3$ in the last identity, since *good* frame is constructed along $\Sigma_0 \subset A'_\varepsilon$ so far. For $\mathbb{S}^+ = N_{\Sigma\, 0/C}$, $\mathbb{S}^- = \Omega^{0,1} \left(N_{\Sigma_0/C_0} \right) \simeq N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0}$ we let $\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^+}$and $\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^-}$ be the induced connections from M, then from the last row of (62) we obtain that

$$\nabla^{\Sigma_0}_{W_i} W_j (p) = \nabla^{\mathbb{S}^+}_{W_i} W_k (p) = \nabla^{\mathbb{S}^-}_{W_i} W_{k+2} (p) = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i, j \leq 3,\ 4 \leq k \leq 5. \tag{63}$$

Choosing a local complex coordinate $z = x_2 + i x_3$ near $p \in \Sigma_0$,we can arrange $W_2 (p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, W_3 (p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$.

Now we define a frame field on A'_ε by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}_\alpha (\varphi (t, z)) &:= d\varphi \cdot W_\alpha (\varphi (0, z)) \text{ for } \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \\ \widetilde{W}_\alpha (\varphi (t, z)) &:= T_\gamma \cdot W_\alpha (\varphi (0, z)) \text{ for } \alpha = 6, 7, \end{aligned}$$

where T_γ is the parallel transport along the path $\gamma := \varphi ([0, t] \times \{z\})$ by Levi-Civita connection in M.

Note that at a generic point $q := \varphi (t, z) \in A'_\varepsilon$, the frame $\left\{ \widetilde{W}_\alpha \right\}_{\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7}$ maybe non-orthonormal, and may fail the standard ·, × relation, since $d\varphi$ is not necessarily an isometry. But from the definition of $I^\pm$ in (56), the $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha = 4,5,6,7}$ is still in $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$. By identifying $N_{\Sigma_0/C_0} = \mathbb{S}^+$ and $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0} = \mathbb{S}^-$ we can regard $\{W_\alpha (\varphi (0, z))\}_{\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7}$ as frame fields on $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ since $\mathbb{S}$ is the pullback of the bundle $\varphi^* N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\{0\} \times \Sigma_0}$ on Σ_0 by the projection map $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_0$. Then by our definition of $\widetilde{\exp}$ in (57), the above $\left\{ \widetilde{W}_\alpha \right\}_{\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7}$ can be written as

$$\widetilde{W}_\alpha (\varphi (t, z)) := d\widetilde{\exp} \cdot W_\alpha (\varphi (0, z)) \text{ for } \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, 7. \tag{64}$$

We further extend the frame $\left\{ \widetilde{W}_\alpha \right\}_{\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7}$ to small tubular neighborhood of $U_\varepsilon (p) \subset M$ by parallel transport along the fiber directions of $N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}$. Consider another "reference frame" $\left\{ W^0_\alpha \right\}_{\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7}$ on $U_\varepsilon (p)$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} W^0_\alpha = W_\alpha \text{ on } \Sigma_0, \\ W^0_\alpha (\varphi (t, z)) = T_\gamma \cdot W_\alpha (\varphi (0, z)) \end{gathered}$$

for $\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7$. Then we extend $\left\{ W^0_\alpha \right\}_{\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots 7}$ to tubular neighborhood of $U_\varepsilon (p) \subset M$ by parallel transport in fiber directions of $N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}$.

The $\{W_\alpha^0\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$ is better than $\left\{\widetilde{W}_\alpha\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$ in that it is an orthonormal frame with *standard* $\cdot, \times$ *relation* at all points q in $U_\varepsilon(p)$, for it is obtained by parallel transport and

$$\nabla_{W_i^0} W_j^0(p) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \le i, j \le 7.$$

But $\{W_\alpha^0(q)\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ spans a linear space slightly deviating from $T_q A'_\varepsilon$ in general. The relation between $\{W_\alpha^0\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$ and $\left\{\widetilde{W}_\alpha\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$ is that

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^0(\varphi(t,z)) &= |n(z)|^{-1}\widetilde{W}_1(\varphi(t,z)) + S(q) = d\widetilde{\exp}\cdot h(z)^{-1/2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + S(q) \\ W_\alpha^0(\varphi(t,z)) &= \widetilde{W}_\alpha(\varphi(t,z)) + R(q) = d\widetilde{\exp}\cdot W_\alpha(\varphi(0,z)) + R(q) \ \text{ for } \alpha = 2,3,\cdots,7 \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where $S(q)$ and $R(q)$ are smooth vector fields on $U_\varepsilon(p)$ satisfying

$$\|S\|_{C^1(U_\varepsilon(p))}, \|R\|_{C^1(U_\varepsilon(p))} \le C_3\varepsilon. \tag{66}$$

This because the induced metric on $A'_\varepsilon = \varphi(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon) \subset M$, when restricted on Σ_0, is $g_{\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon,h} = h(z)\,dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$ by the property of φ, and $\varphi_0 = id$ on C_0, and then

$$\begin{gathered} d\widetilde{\exp} : T\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma} \to TM, \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, W_2, W_3\right) \to \left(d\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)\Big|_{(0,z)}, d\varphi_0(W_2), d\varphi_0(W_3)\right) = (n(z), W_2, W_3), \end{gathered}$$

which implies that $S(q)$ and $R(q)$ all vanish when $t = 0$, and (66) follows from the smoothness of φ.

We write $\tau = \omega_0^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha^0$ in neighborhood $U_\varepsilon(p)$. By the parallel property of $\{W_\alpha^0\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$, the 3-forms ω_0^α are similar to the standard ones in $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}$, in the sense that dx_i is replaced by $(W_i^0)^*$for $i = 1,2,\cdots 7$. We still have the formula (12) at q :

$$F'(0)V_2(q) = d(i_{V_2}\omega_0^\alpha)\otimes W_\alpha^0(q) + i_{V_2}d\omega_0^\alpha\otimes W_\alpha^0(q) + \omega_0^\alpha\otimes\nabla_{V_2}W_\alpha^0(q). \tag{67}$$

We first estimate the term $d(i_{V_2}\omega_0^\alpha)\otimes W_\alpha^0(q)$, it is the principle symbol part of the first order differential operator $F'(0)$. We claim that

$$d(i_{V_2}\omega_0^\alpha)\otimes W_\alpha^0 = (d\widetilde{\exp}\cdot\mathcal{D}V_1)\otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon} + E(q)V_1 \tag{68}$$

where $E(q)$ is a smooth tensor on $U_\varepsilon(p)$ with $\|E\|_{C^1(U_\varepsilon(p))} \le C_3\varepsilon$. To see this, for section V_2 of $N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}$ we write

$$V_2(q) = \Sigma_{\alpha=4}^{7}\phi^\alpha(q)\widetilde{W}_\alpha(q) = \Sigma_{\alpha=4}^{7}\phi^\alpha(q)W^0_\alpha(q) + E_0(q)V_2,$$

where $\|E_0\|_{C^1(U_\varepsilon(p))} \le C_3\varepsilon$ by (65). Similar to our proof of McLean's theorem, we have

$$d\left(i_{V_2}\omega_0^\alpha\right)\otimes W^0_\alpha(q)\,|_{T_qA'_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{D}V_2(q)\,dvol_{A'_\varepsilon} + E_1(q)V_2 \tag{69}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}V_2(q) = &-\left(\phi_1^5+\phi_2^6+\phi_3^7\right)W_4^0 + \left(\phi_1^4+\phi_3^6-\phi_2^7\right)W_5^0\\ &+\left(\phi_2^4-\phi_3^5+\phi_1^7\right)W_6^0 + \left(\phi_3^4+\phi_2^5-\phi_1^6\right)W_7^0,\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

and

$$\phi_i^k(q) := d\phi^k(q)\left(W_i^0\right)$$

The reason is that from our construction of W^0_α, $\nabla_{W_i^0}W_j^0(p) = 0$ for $1 \le i,j \le 7$ thus $d\left(\left(W^0_\alpha\right)^*\right)(p) = 0$ for all α, and ω_0^α are similar to the standard ones in $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O}$. Thus when $q = p$,

$$d\left(i_{V_2}\omega_0^\alpha\right)\otimes W^0_\alpha(p)\,|_{T_pA'_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{D}V_2(p)\,dvol_{A'_\varepsilon},$$

and when q is ε-close to p, in (69) the error term $E_1(q)$ is of order ε in C^1 norm, because $\nabla_{W_i^0}W_j^0(q) = o(\varepsilon)$ in C^1 and $\mathrm{span}\left\{W^0_\alpha(q)\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ has C^1 ε-order deviation from $T_qA'_\varepsilon$ from (66).

We want to show

$$\mathcal{D}V_2(q) = d\widetilde{\exp}\circ\left[\left(h^{-1/2}(z)\,e_1\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}+\bar{\partial}\right)V_1\right] + E_3(q)V_2,$$

where the error term $E_3(q)$ is of order ε in C^1 norm, and the $\bar{\partial} = \left(\overline{\partial},\overline{\partial}^*\right)$ is the Dirac operator on Dolbeault complex of the complex line bundle $N_{\Sigma_0/C_0} \to \Sigma_0$ with normal connection of Σ_0 in C_0, which was introduced in Section 3.1.

For this purpose, we first trivialize the bundle $\mathbb{S}$ over $[0,\varepsilon]\times\bar{B}_\varepsilon(z) \subset \mathrm{A}_\varepsilon$ with fiber $\mathbb{H}$ and let $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k})$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{H}$. Note that from our construction of the basis $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots7}$ on $[0,\varepsilon]\times\bar{B}_\varepsilon(z)$, the correspondence

$$(W_4,W_5,W_6,W_7)\longleftrightarrow(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k})$$

identifies the Clifford module structure of $\mathrm{Span}\{W_4, W_5\} \oplus \mathrm{Span}\{W_6, W_7\} \to \mathrm{Span}\{W_2, W_3\}$ (with G_2 multiplication $\times$) and $\mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{i}\} \oplus \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\} \to \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ (with quaternion multiplication) we studied in Section 3.1, and the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \to A_\varepsilon$ has decomposition $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ with fibers satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}^+(t,z) &= \mathrm{Span}\{W_4(\varphi(0,z)), W_5(\varphi(0,z))\} \simeq \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{i}\} = \mathbb{C}, \\
\mathbb{S}^-(t,z) &= \mathrm{Span}\{W_6(\varphi(0,z)), W_7(\varphi(0,z))\} \simeq \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\} = \mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{i}\} \cdot \mathbf{j} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{j} \\
&\simeq \mathbb{S}^+(t,z) \times W_6(\varphi(0,z)), \\
\mathbb{S}(t,z) &\simeq \mathbb{S}^+(t,z) \oplus \mathbb{S}^-(t,z) \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{j} \simeq \mathbb{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

over $[0,\varepsilon] \times \bar{B}_\varepsilon(z)$. *Here we regard* $\{W_\alpha(\varphi(0,z))\}_{1,2,\cdots 7}$ *as frame fields on* $S \to A_\varepsilon$ *by pulling back the bundle* $\varphi^* N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma_0}$ *on* Σ_0 *by the projection map* $A_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_0$*, and in* $S^+(t,z) \times W_6(\varphi(0,z))$ *we use the cross product* $\times$ *in* M*.*

From (64) the section V_1 of $\mathbb{S}$ satisfying $\widetilde{d\exp} \cdot V_1 = V_2$ is

$$V_1(t,z) = \Sigma_{\alpha=4}^7 \phi^\alpha(\varphi(t,z)) W_\alpha(\varphi(0,z)) = \Sigma_{\alpha=4}^7 \psi^\alpha(t,z) W_\alpha(\varphi(0,z))$$

where we set

$$\psi^\alpha(t,z) := \phi^\alpha(\varphi(t,z))$$

to emphasize it is a function on $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, not on $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$. We write sections u, v of $\mathbb{S}^+$ and V_1 of $\mathbb{S}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t,z) &:= \phi^4(\varphi(t,z)) W_4(\varphi(0,z)) + \phi^5(\varphi(t,z)) W_5(\varphi(0,z)) \\
&\simeq \psi^4 \mathbf{1} + \psi^5 \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S}^+(\varphi(t,z)) \simeq \mathbb{C}, \\
v(t,z) &:= \phi^6(\varphi(t,z)) W_4(\varphi(0,z)) + \phi^7(\varphi(t,z)) W_5(\varphi(0,z)) \\
&\simeq \psi^6 \mathbf{1} + \psi^7 \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S}^+(\varphi(t,z)) \simeq \mathbb{C}, \\
V_1(t,z) &:= u(t,z) + v(t,z) \times W_6(\varphi(0,z)) \\
&\simeq u + v\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}(\varphi(t,z)) \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{j} \simeq \mathbb{H}. \qquad (71)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (62) we can write down the covariant derivatives of the bundle $\mathbb{S} \to \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ in local chart $(x_1, z) \in [0,\varepsilon] \times \bar{B}_\varepsilon(z) \subset \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_1 &= \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_1(\varphi(0,z))} = h^{-1/2}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \\
\partial_z &= \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_2(\varphi(0,z))} - W_5(\varphi(0,z)) \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_3(\varphi(0,z))} + o(\varepsilon) V_1, \\
\overline{\partial}_z &= \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_2(\varphi(0,z))} + W_5(\varphi(0,z)) \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_3(\varphi(0,z))} + o(\varepsilon) V_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla^{\mathbb{S}}$ is the connection on spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \to \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, especially on sub-bundle $\mathbb{S}^+ = N_{\Sigma_0/C_0}$, $\nabla^{\mathbb{S}}$ restricts to normal connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^+}$ on $\mathbb{S}^+ = N_{\Sigma_0/C_0}$. Note that

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_i} W_k\left(p\right) = 0 \text{ for } i = 2,3 \text{ and } 4 \leq k \leq 7$$

from (63), and

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_1} W_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \alpha = 1,2,3\cdots 7$$

for our $\left\{W_\alpha\left(\varphi\left(0,z\right)\right)\right\}_{1,2,3,\cdots 7}$ is regarded as frame field on $\mathbb{S} \to \mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$ by pulling back the bundle $\varphi^* N_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}|_{\{0\}\times\Sigma_0}$ on Σ_0 by the projection map $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_0$. With these understood, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}V_1 &= \left(e_1 \cdot \nabla_1 + \bar{\partial}\right) V_1 \\
&= \left(\nabla_1 u\mathbf{i} - \partial_z v\right) + \left(-\nabla_1 v\mathbf{i} + \overline{\partial}_z u\right)\mathbf{j} \ + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1 (\text{where } V_1 = u + v\mathbf{j} \text{ as in } (71)\,) \\
&= \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_1}\left(\psi^4 W_4 + \psi^5 W_5\right) W_5 - \left(\nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_2} - W_5 \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_3}\right)\left(\psi^6 W_4 + \psi^7 W_5\right) W_4 \\
&\quad - \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_1}\left(\psi^6 W_4 + \psi^7 W_5\right) W_7 + \left(\nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_2} + W_5 \nabla^{\mathbb{S}}_{W_3}\right)\left(\psi^4 W_4 + \psi^5 W_5\right) W_6 + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1 \\
&= -\left(\psi^5_1 + \psi^6_2 + \psi^7_3\right) W_4 + \left(\psi^4_1 + \psi^6_3 - \psi^7_2\right) W_5 \\
&\quad + \left(\psi^4_2 - \psi^5_3 + \psi^7_1\right) W_6 + \left(\psi^4_3 + \psi^5_2 - \psi^6_1\right) W_7 + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

where

$$\psi^k_i\left(t,z\right) := d\psi^k\left(t,z\right)\left(W_i\left(\varphi\left(0,z\right)\right)\right).$$

By chain rule and (65), for $4 \leq k \leq 7$,

$$\psi^k_i\left(t,z\right) = \begin{cases} h\left(z\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot h\left(z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^k_i\left(\varphi\left(t,z\right)\right) + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1 \text{ for } i = 1, \\ \phi^k_i\left(\varphi\left(t,z\right)\right) + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1 \quad \text{for } i = 2,3. \end{cases} = \phi^k_i\left(\varphi\left(t,z\right)\right) + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1 \ , \tag{73}$$

where in first row, the first $h\left(z\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is from $W_1 = h\left(z\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ and the second $h\left(z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is from $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi\left(t,z\right) = n\left(z\right)$. Comparing (70) and (72), and noticing (65) and (73), we have

$$d\widetilde{\exp} \cdot \mathcal{D}V_1 = \mathcal{D}V_2 + o\left(\varepsilon\right) V_1.$$

Therefore we get

$$\left\|d\left(i_{V_2}\omega^\alpha_0\right) \otimes W^0_\alpha - \left(d\widetilde{\exp} \circ \mathcal{D}V_1\right) \otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^\alpha\left(U_\varepsilon(p)\right)} \leq C_4 \varepsilon \left\|V_2\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}. \tag{74}$$

Next we estimate the remaining term $i_{V_2} d\omega^\alpha_0 \otimes W^0_\alpha + \omega^\alpha_0 \otimes \nabla_{V_2} W^0_\alpha$ in (67). Since $i_{V_2} d\omega^\alpha_0$ and $\nabla_{V_2} W^0_\alpha$ are 0-th order linear operators on V_2, we can write

$$i_{V_2} d\omega^\alpha_0 \otimes W^0_\alpha + \omega^\alpha_0 \otimes \nabla_{V_2} W^0_\alpha = BV_2,$$

where $B=B(q)$ is a smooth tensor on A'_ε by the smoothness of τ, W_a^0 and ω_0^α. Since $\nabla\tau=0$,

$$i_W d\omega_0^\alpha(p)=\nabla_W W_\alpha^0(p)=0 \text{ for all } W\in N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}(p)$$

(by an argument parallel to proof of Theorem 9), we have $B(p)|_{N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}(p)}=0$. Since $\operatorname{dist}(p,q)\le C_0\varepsilon$ for some uniform constant C_0, and $N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}$ is a smooth fiber bundle over A'_ε, we conclude that the operator norm

$$\left\| B(q)|_{N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}(q)}\right\|\le C_1\varepsilon.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|BV_2(q)|&\le C_1\varepsilon\,|V_2(q)| && \text{for all } q\in U_\varepsilon(p),\\
\|BV_2\|_{C^0(U_\varepsilon(p))}&\le C_1\varepsilon\,\|V_2\|_{C^0\left(A'_\varepsilon,N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}\right)} && \text{for all } V_2\in\Gamma\left(N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $[BV_2]_{\alpha;U_\varepsilon(p)}$, notice that $B(q)$ is a smooth tensor on $U_\varepsilon(p)$ with $BV_2(p)=0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
[BV_2]_{\alpha;U_\varepsilon(p)}&\le 2\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\cdot[BV_2]_{1;U_\varepsilon(p)}\\
&\le 2\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left([B]_{1;U_\varepsilon(p)}|V_2|_{C^0(U_\varepsilon(p))}+[B]_{C^0(U_\varepsilon(p))}[V_2]_{1;U_\varepsilon(p)}\right)\ \text{(by triangle inequality)}\\
&\le 2\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|B\|_{C^1(U_\varepsilon(p))}\|V_2\|_{C^1\left(A'_\varepsilon,N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining $\|BV_2\|_{C^0}$ and $[BV_2]_a$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|BV_2\|_{C^\alpha(U_\varepsilon(p))}&=\|BV_2\|_{C^0(U_\varepsilon(p))}+[BV_2]_{\alpha;U_\varepsilon(p)}\\
&\le C_1\varepsilon\|V_2\|_{C^0(A'_\varepsilon)}+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|B\|_{C^1(U_\varepsilon(p))}\|V_2\|_{C^1(A'_\varepsilon)}\\
&\le C_2\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|V_2\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon,N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}\right)}. \qquad (75)
\end{aligned}$$

The constant C_1 is uniform for all ε, by the compactness of Σ and finite covering of such turbular neighborhoods on A'_ε.

Putting (75), (74) in (67), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|F'(0)V_2-(\widetilde{d\exp}\cdot\mathcal{D}V_1)\otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^\alpha(U_\varepsilon(p))}\\
&\le C_5\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|V_2\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon,N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}^{top}\right)}\\
&\le C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|V_1\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $F'(0)\,V_2(q)$ and $(\widetilde{d\exp}\cdot\mathcal{D}V_1)\otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon}$ are *independent* on the choice of basis $\left\{W_\alpha^0\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,\cdots 7}$, although in the above calculation we used such (good) basis. Using finitely many $U_\varepsilon(p)$ that cover A'_ε and then take supremum, we have

$$\left\|F'(0)\,V_2-(\widetilde{d\exp}\cdot\mathcal{D}V_1)\otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^\alpha(A'_\varepsilon)}\le C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left\|V_1\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}\cdot \tag{76}$$

Applying P_ε on the left hand side of the above inequality, and noticing that $(\widetilde{d\exp})\circ\mathcal{D}V_1$ is a section of $N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}$ thus

$$P_\varepsilon\cdot\left((\widetilde{d\exp})\circ\mathcal{D}V_1\otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon}\right)=(\widetilde{d\exp})\circ\mathcal{D}V_1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|F'_\varepsilon(0)\,V_2-(\widetilde{d\exp})\circ\mathcal{D}V_1\right\|_{C^\alpha\left(A'_\varepsilon,N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}\\
&=\left\|P_\varepsilon\cdot\left(F'(0)\,V_2-(\widetilde{d\exp})\circ\mathcal{D}V_1\otimes dvol_{A'_\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{C^\alpha\left(A'_\varepsilon,N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}\\
&\le\left\|P_\varepsilon\right\|\cdot C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left\|V_1\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}=C_1\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\left\|V_1\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A_\varepsilon,\mathbb{S})}
\end{aligned}\tag{77}$$

where $C_1=C\left\|P_\varepsilon\right\|$ is another constant. ■

Strictly speaking, to define Dirac operators on Dolbeault complex of line bundles $L\to\Sigma$, the connection should be Hermitian connection, while in the above proof we used the Levi-Civita connection induced from metric on M. The next lemma shows that it is Hermitian.

Lemma 16 *For complex line bundle $L=N_{\Sigma_0/C}$ or $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0}$, the Levi-Civita connection ∇^L induced from the metric of M is a Hermitian connection, namely $\nabla J_n=0$.*

Proof. When $L=N_{\Sigma_0/C}$ or $N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0}$, by definition

$$J=J_n=\frac{n}{|n|}\times=W_1\times\ \text{ and }\nabla^L=\pi^L\circ\nabla,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection in TM, and π^L is the projection of TM to subbundle L over Σ_0 by the orthogonal decomposition

$$TM|_{\Sigma_0}=T\Sigma_0\oplus N_{\Sigma_0/C}\oplus N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0}\oplus\operatorname{span}\left\{W_1\right\}|_{\Sigma_0}.$$

Note that on $B_\varepsilon(p)$, $T\Sigma_0=$span$\{W_2,W_3\}$. For $i=2,3$,

$$\nabla^L_{Wi}J_n=\nabla^L_{Wi}\left(W_1\times|_L\right)=\pi^L\left(\nabla_{Wi}W_1\right)\times|_L=\left\langle W_1,\nabla_{Wi}W_1\right\rangle W_1\times|_L=0,$$

where the second identity is because for $L = N_{\Sigma_0/C}$ =span$\{W_4, W_5\}$ or $L = N_{\mathcal{C}/M}|_{\Sigma_0}$ =span$\{W_6, W_7\}$, under cross product $\times$, only the W_1 component of $\nabla_{Wi} W_1$ can preserve L, i.e.

$$W_1 \times L \subset L, \text{ and } (W_k \times L) \cap L = \{0\} \text{ for } 2 \le k \le 7$$

from octonian multiplication relation, and the last identity is because $\langle W_1, \nabla_{Wi} W_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{Wi} \langle W_1, W_1 \rangle = 0$. Thus $\nabla_v^L J = 0$ for any $v \in T\Sigma_0$. ■

Proposition 17 *There exists a right inverse* $\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}^{true}$ *of* $F_\varepsilon'(0)$*, such that* $\left\| \widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}^{true} \right\| \le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}$*, where the constant* C *is uniform for all* $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$.

Proof. From Theorem 14, for operator $\mathcal{D}$ on spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$ over $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$, there is a right inverse Q_ε of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\|Q_\varepsilon\| \le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} = d\widetilde{\exp} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \left(d\widetilde{\exp}\right)^{-1},$$
$$\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} = d\widetilde{\exp} \circ Q_\varepsilon \circ \left(d\widetilde{\exp}\right)^{-1},$$

then

$$\left\| \widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} \right\| \le \left\| d\widetilde{\exp} \right\| \cdot C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} \cdot \left\| \left(d\widetilde{\exp}\right)^{-1} \right\| \le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon'(0)\,\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} - id &= \left(F_\varepsilon'(0) - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\right)\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} - id \\ &= \left(F_\varepsilon'(0) - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\right)\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} - id \\ &= \left(F_\varepsilon'(0) - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\right)\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is because $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} = d\widetilde{\exp} \circ \mathcal{D}Q_\varepsilon \circ \left(d\widetilde{\exp}\right)^{-1} = id$. From the previous proposition $\left\| \left(F_\varepsilon'(0) - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\right) \right\| \le C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| F_\varepsilon'(0)\,\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} - id \right\| &\le \left\| \left(F_\varepsilon'(0) - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\right) \right\| \left\| \widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} \right\| \\ &\le C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \cdot C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} < \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

when ε is sufficiently small, by our assumption that $1 - \left(\frac{3}{p} + 3\alpha\right) > 0$. So $\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}$ is an approximate right inverse of $F_\varepsilon'(0)$. The true right invese $\widetilde{Q}^{true}$ of $F_\varepsilon'(0)$ is $\widetilde{Q}^{true} = \widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}\left(F_\varepsilon'(0)\,\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}$ and

$$\left\| \widetilde{Q}^{true} \right\| \le \left\| \widetilde{Q_\varepsilon} \right\| \left\| \left(F_\varepsilon'(0)\,\widetilde{Q_\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \right\| \le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} \cdot 2 \le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}.$$

■

4.2 Quadratic Estimates

Proposition 18 *There exists* $\delta_0 > 0$ *such that for all sections* V_0, V *of* $N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}$ *that* $\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} < \delta_0$, *we have*

$$\left\|F'_\varepsilon(V_0)V - F'_\varepsilon(0)V\right\|_{C^{\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \le C\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}\|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)},$$

where the constant C *is independent on* ε.

Proof. Because $F_\varepsilon(V) = P_\varepsilon \circ F(V)$ and P_ε is a bounded linear operator independent on V, it is enough to prove the quadratic estimate of $F(V)$, namely

$$\left\|F'(V_0)V - F'(0)V\right\|_{C^{\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \le C\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}\|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}.$$

For any $p = \varphi(t,z)$ on A'_ε , we choose a normal frame field $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,\ldots,7}$ in its neighborhood $B_{\delta_0}(p)$ where $\tau = \omega^\alpha \otimes W_a$, with $\delta_0 =$ the injective radius of M. For the point $q := \exp_p V_0$ and If $|V_0| < \delta_0$, then we can assume this neighborhood covers q. For the family of embeddings of submanifolds

$$\psi_t := \exp(V_0 + tV) : A_\varepsilon \to A_\varepsilon(V_0 + tV) \subset M,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0(A_\varepsilon) &= A_\varepsilon(V_0),\\ \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\psi_t(q) &= (d\exp_p V_0)V(p) := V_1(q)\end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in A'_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon(0)$. Arguing as in theorem 1, from $\nabla\tau = 0$ we have $\nabla W_\alpha(q) = d\omega^\alpha(q) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&F'(V_0)V(p)\\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} F(V_0 + tV)(p)\\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\left[\exp(V_0 + tV)^*\omega^\alpha(p) \otimes T_{V_0+tV}W_\alpha\left(\exp_p(V_0 + tV)\right)\right]\\ &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\left(\exp(V_0 + tV)^*\omega^\alpha\right) \otimes W_\alpha(p)\\ &+ (\exp V_0)^*\omega^\alpha(p) \otimes \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} T_{V_0+tV}W_\alpha\left(\exp_p(V_0 + tV)\right), \qquad (78)\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used $T_{V_0+tV}W_\alpha\left(\exp_p(V_0+tV)\right)=W_\alpha(p)$ by the parallel property of W_α.

For the first term of (78), by the property of the exponential map, namely $d\exp_q(0)=id_{T_qM}$,we have

$$\exp_p(V_0+tV)=\exp_q(tV_1+t\left|V\right|\beta(V_{0,}V,t))\circ\exp_p V_0$$

where $V_1=\left(d\exp_{A'_\varepsilon}V_0\right)V$ is a vector field on $A_\varepsilon(V_0)$, $\beta(V_{0,}V,t)$ and $\beta'(V_{0,}V,t)$ are uniformly bounded, and $\lim_{t\to 0}\beta(V_{0,}V,t)=0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\exp(V_0+tV)^*\omega^\alpha(p)\\&=\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\left(\exp_{A'_\varepsilon}V_0\right)^*\exp_{A_\varepsilon(V_0)}(tV_1+t\left|V\right|\beta(V_{0,}V,t))^*\omega^\alpha\\&=\left(\exp_p V_0\right)^*L_{V_1}\omega^\alpha\\&=\left(\exp_p V_0\right)^*\left(d\left(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha\right)+i_{V_1}d\omega^\alpha\right),\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we have used that $\lim_{t\to 0}\beta(V_{0,}V,t)=0$. For the second term of (78), by Gauss-Bonnet formula, which compares the parallel transports along different paths by curvature integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&T_{V_0+tV}W_\alpha\left(\exp_p(V_0+tV)\right)\\&=T_{V_0}\circ T_{tV_1+t|V|\beta(V_0,V,t)}W_\alpha\left(\exp_q(tV_1+t\left|V\right|\beta(V_0,V,t))\right)+\int_{\Delta(V_0,tV)}R(\sigma)\,d\sigma W_\alpha(p),\end{aligned}$$

where $R(\sigma)$ is the Ricci curvature tensor, $\Delta(V_{0,}tV)$ is the 2-dimensional geodesic triangle with the vertices $p,\exp_p V_0$, and $\exp_p(V_0+tV)$, and σ is the area element. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}T_{V_0+tV}W_\alpha\left(\exp_p(V_0+tV)\right)\\&=T_{V_0}\circ\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}T_{tV_1}W_\alpha\left(\exp_q tV_1\right)+\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\int_{\Delta(V_0,tV)}R(\sigma)\,d\sigma W_\alpha(p),\end{aligned}$$

where in the first term we have dropped the higher order term $t\left|V\right|\beta(V_{0,}V,t)$ since it is irrelevant for derivative at 0. If we denote

$$B(V_0,V):=\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\int_{\Delta(V_0,tV)}R(\sigma)\,d\sigma,$$

It can be shown that

$$\left|B(V_0,V)\,W_\alpha(p)\right|\leq C_5\left|V_0\right|\left|V\right|\left|W_\alpha\right|(p),$$

where C_5 is a constant only depending on (M, g). Plugging these in (78), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F'(V_0)\,|_{A_{\varepsilon(0)}} V(p) \\
&= (\exp V_0)^* L_{V_1}\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha(p) + (\exp V_0)^* \omega^\alpha \otimes T_{V_0}\nabla_{V_1} W_\alpha(q) + B(V_0, V)\, W_\alpha(p) \\
&= ((\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}) \circ [L_{V_1}\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha(q) + \omega^\alpha(q) \otimes \nabla_{V_1} W_\alpha(q)] + B(V_0, V)\, W_\alpha(p) \\
&= ((\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}) \circ [(d(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha) + i_{V_1} d\omega^\alpha) \otimes W_\alpha(q) + \omega^\alpha(q) \otimes \nabla_{V_1} W_\alpha(q)] \\
&\quad + B(V_0, V)\, W_\alpha(p). \qquad (79)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $T_{V_0} W_\alpha(q) = W_\alpha(p)$. The (79) can be rewritten as

$$F'(V_0)\,|_{A_{\varepsilon(0)}} V(p) = ((\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}) \circ \left[F'(0)\,|_{A_\varepsilon(V_0)} V_1(q)\right] + B(V_0, V)\, W_\alpha(p),$$

which means the derivative $F'(V_0)$ on $A_\varepsilon(0)$ can be expressed by the derivative $F'(0)$ on $A_\varepsilon(V_0)$ via the transform $(\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}$, up to the curvature term $B(V_0, V)\, W_\alpha(p)$. If $V_0 = 0$, then $q = p$ and $V_1 = V$, together with

$$i_V d\omega^\alpha(p) = \nabla_V W_\alpha(p) = B(0, V) = 0,$$

the formula (79) is simplified as

$$F'(0)\,|_{A'_\varepsilon} V(p) = d(i_V \omega^\alpha) \otimes W_\alpha(p).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&F'(V_0)\, V(p) - F'(0)\, V(p) \\
&= [(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha) - d(i_V \omega^\alpha)]\,|_{A_{\varepsilon(0)}} \otimes W_\alpha(p) + \qquad (80) \\
&((\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}) \circ [i_{V_1} d\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_{V_1} W_\alpha](p) + B(V_0, V)\, W_\alpha(p) \\
&\qquad (81)
\end{aligned}$$

For the second row (81), it is a 0-th order linear differential operator on $V \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$, where

$$V_1 = \left(d \exp_{A_\varepsilon(0)} V_0\right) V := E(V_0)\, V.$$

We notice that for the linear operator

$$H : C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right) \to C^\alpha\left(\Gamma\left(Hom\left(TM|_{A'_\varepsilon}, TM|_{A_\varepsilon(V_0)}\right)\right)\right)$$

that sends

$$V_0 \to ((\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}) \circ \left[i_{E(V_0)(\cdot)} d\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_{E(V_0)(\cdot)} W_\alpha\right] + B(V_0, \cdot)\, W_\alpha,$$

H is a bounded operator since the terms $\exp V_0, (\exp V_0)^*, T_{V_0}, E(V_0)$ and $B(V_0, \cdot)$ as elements in C^{α} are differentible for $V_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$ with bounded Frechet derivatives. The bound of the derivatives is uniform on ε so $\|H\|$ is unformly bounded. Since $H(V_0) = 0$ when $V_0 = 0$, we have

$$\|H(V_0,V)\|_{C^{\alpha}} \le C_8 \|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)}.$$

For the first row (80), $[(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^{\alpha}) - d(i_V\omega^{\alpha})]$ is a first order linear differential operator on V $\left(V_1 = \left(d\exp_{A_\varepsilon(0)}(V_0)\right)V\right)$, and only involves the covariant derivatives of V. In the next lemma we will show

$$\|(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^{\alpha}) - d(i_V\omega^{\alpha})\|_{C^{\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \le C_7 \|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)}.$$

Combining the two rows we get

$$\left\|F'(V_0)V - F'(0)V\right\|_{C^{\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \le C\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}.$$

■

Lemma 19 *For any* $V_0, V \in \Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$ *with* $\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \le \delta_0$, *we have*

$$\|(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^{\alpha}) - d(i_V\omega^{\alpha})\|_{C^{\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \le C_7 \|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)},$$

where the constants δ_0 *and* C_7 *are independent on* ε.

Proof. Consider the 3 linear maps from T_pM to T_qM, where $q = \exp_p V_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} Pal_{V_0} &: T_pM \to T_qM, \text{ parallel transport along the geodesic } \exp_p tV_0 \text{ for } 0 \le t \le 1, \\ d(\exp V_0) &: T_pM \to T_qM, \text{ tangent map of the diffeomorphism } \exp V_0 : A'_\varepsilon \to A_\varepsilon(V_0), \\ d\left(\exp_p\right)(V_0) &: T_{V_0}(T_pM) \to T_qM, \text{ tangent map of the exponential } \exp_p : T_pM \to M, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last one we identify $T_{V_0}(T_pM) \simeq T_pM$. Note that for diffeomorphism $d(\exp V_0)$ we need a vector field V_0 on A'_ε but for $d\left(\exp_p\right)(V_0)$ we only need $V_0 \in T_pM$, so they are essentially different maps. But the 3 maps are very close to each other when V_0 is small. More precisely, for any $V_0, V \in \Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$ with $\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \le \delta_0$, we have comparision of the maps as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\exp V_0)V &= Pal_{V_0}V + h_1(V_0)V, \\ d\left(\exp_p\right)(V_0)V &= d(\exp V_0)V + h_2(V_0)V, \end{aligned}$$

where for each $i = 1, 2$, the error term

$$h_i(\cdot) : C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right) \to C^{\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(Hom\left(TM|_{A'_\varepsilon}, TM|_{A_\varepsilon(V_0)}\right)\right)\right).$$

is differentiable with respect to the varible V_0, and

$$h_i(V_0) = 0 \text{ for } V_0 = 0.$$

This is because for any fixed p, $Pal_{V_0(p)}$ and $d\left(\exp_p\right)(V_0)$ smoothly depend on V_0, and for the compact family $p \in A'_\varepsilon$, Pal_{V_0} and $d\left(\exp_p\right)(V_0)$ inherits the smooth dependence on $V_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$; For $d(\exp V_0)$, since

$$d(\exp V_0)(x) = F_1(x, V_0)\, dx + F_2(x, V_0)\, dV_0(x)$$

where $F_1(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \exp_x y$ and $F_2(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \exp_x y$ are bounded on A_ε, we see $d(\exp V_0) \in C^{\alpha}$ smoothly depends on $V_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$ with bounded Frechet derivative. This especially implies that

$$\left\|h_i(V_0) V\right\|_{C^a} \leq C_8 \left\|V_0\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \left\|V\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)}. \tag{82}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= d(\exp V_0) V + h_2(V_0) V, \\ d(\exp V_0) W_\alpha(p) &= W_a(q) + h_1(V_0) W_\alpha(p), \\ (\exp V_0)^* \omega^\alpha(q) &= \omega^\alpha(p) + h_3(V_0) \omega^\alpha(q) \end{aligned}$$

using the parallel property of W_a and τ (hence ω^α). (Here $h_3(V_0)$ depends on $V_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$ smoothly by similar reason of h_1 and h_2. Since ω^α is a 3-form, $h_3(V_0)$ can have terms of cubic power order of V_0). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &(\exp V_0)^* d\left(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha\right) - d\left(i_V \omega^\alpha\right) \\ &= d\left[(\exp V_0)^* \left(i_{d(\exp V_0)V + h_2(V_0)V}\omega^\alpha\right) - i_V \omega^\alpha\right] \\ &= d\left[(\exp V_0)^* i_{d(\exp V_0)V}\omega^\alpha + (\exp V_0)^* i_{h_2(V_0)V}\omega^\alpha - i_V \omega^\alpha\right] \\ &= d\left[i_V (\exp V_0)^* \omega^\alpha + (\exp V_0)^* i_{h_2(V_0)V}\omega^\alpha - i_V \omega^\alpha\right] \\ &= d\left[i_V \left(\omega^\alpha + h_3(V_0)\omega^\alpha\right) + (\exp V_0)^* i_{h_2(V_0)V}\omega^\alpha - i_V \omega^\alpha\right] \\ &= d\left[i_V \left(h_3(V_0)\omega^\alpha(q)\right) + (\exp V_0)^* i_{h_2(V_0)V}\omega^\alpha(q)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the property (82) of $h_i\,(i = 1, 2, 3)$, we observe that each term in the above last identity in C^{α} norm smoothly depends on $V_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$

and linearly on $V \in C^{1,\alpha}\left(\Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)\right)$. Also when $V_0 = 0$, $(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha) - d(i_V \omega^\alpha) = 0$. Therefore

$$\left\|(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha) - d(i_V\omega^\alpha)\right\|_{C^\alpha(A'_\varepsilon)} \le C \|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)} \|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(A'_\varepsilon)}.$$

■

Remark 20 *We also have some point estmates tied to the feature that τ is a 3-form. It is well known that for any smooth maps $\varphi : A_\varepsilon \to M$,*

$$\left|d \exp_\varphi V_0\right| \le C_6 \left(|d\varphi| + |\nabla V_0|\right),$$

where C_6 is a constant only depending on (M, g), hence

$$\left|\left(d \exp_p V_0\right) V\right| \le C_6 \left(|d\varphi| + |\nabla V_0|\right) |V(p)|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left[(\exp V_0)^* d(i_{V_1}\omega^\alpha) - d(i_V\omega^\alpha)\right] \otimes W_\alpha(p)\right| \\ &\le C_7 \left(|d\varphi| + |\nabla V_0|\right)^3 \left(|\nabla V| |V_0| + |V| |\nabla V_0| + |V| |V_0|\right)(p),\end{aligned}$$

where the power 3 is because ω^α is a 3-form. For the second row of (81), it is a 0-th order linear differential operator on V $\left(V_1 = \left(d \exp_{A_\varepsilon(0)} V_0\right) V\right)$. By the C^2 smoothness of $\tau = \omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha$ and

$$i_W d\omega^\alpha(p) = \nabla_W W_\alpha(p) = 0$$

for any $W \in \Gamma\left(N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$, so at $q = \exp_p V_0$ we have

$$\left|i_{V_1} d\omega^\alpha(q)\right| \le C_4 |V_0| |V|, \quad \left|\nabla_{V_1} W_\alpha(q)\right| \le C_4 |V_0| |V|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left((\exp V_0)^* \otimes T_{V_0}\right) \circ \left[i_{V_1} d\omega^\alpha \otimes W_\alpha + \omega^\alpha \otimes \nabla_{V_1} W_\alpha\right](p) + B(V_0, V) W_\alpha(p)\right| \\ &\le C_6^3 \left(|d\varphi| + |\nabla V_0|\right)^3 \cdot 2C_4 |V_0| |V|(p) + C_5 |V_0| |V|(p).\end{aligned}$$

where the power 3 is because ω^α is a 3-form. Combining all, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|F'(V_0) V - F'(0) V\right|(p) \\ &\le \left[C_7 \left(|d\varphi| + |\nabla V_0|\right)^3 \left(|V_0| |V| + |\nabla V_0| |V| + |V_0| |\nabla V|\right) + C_5 |V_0| |V|\right](p).\end{aligned}$$

Because of the cubic power terms, it is difficult to get similar quadratic estimate in $W^{1,p}$ setting, namely

$$\left\|F'\left(V_0\right)V - F'\left(0\right)V\right\|_{L^p\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \leq C\left\|V_0\right\|_{W^{1,p}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}\left\|V\right\|_{W^{1,p}\left(A'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{A'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}.$$

This is one of the key reasons that we choose the Schauder setting for implicit function theorem, where the right inverse bound of $F'(0)$ is much harder to obtain than in L^p setting. In contrast, the Cauchy-Riemann operator of J-holomorphic curves is more linear in L^p setting: it has quadratic estimate (see [28])

$$\left\|F'\left(V_0\right)V - F'\left(0\right)V\right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq C\left\|V_0\right\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}\left\|V\right\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}.$$

4.3 Perturbation Argument

To find the zeros of F_ε, we are going to apply the following quantitative version of the implicit function theorem (c.f. Theorem 15.6 [8] or Proposition A3.4 in [28]).

Theorem 21 *Let $(X, |\cdot|_X)$ and $(Y, |\cdot|_Y)$be Banach space and $F : B_r(0) \subset X \to Y$ a C^1-map, such that*

1. $(DF(0))^{-1}$ *is a bounded linear operator with* $\left\|(DF(0))^{-1}F(0)\right\| \leq A$ *and* $\left\|(DF(0))^{-1}\right\| \leq B$;
2. $\|DF(x) - DF(0)\| \leq \kappa|x|_X$ *for all* $x \in B_r(0)$;
3. $2\kappa AB < 1$ *and* $2A < r$.

Then F has a unique zero in $B_{2A}(0)$.

To apply the above theorem, we define the map

$$\tilde{F}_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}F_\varepsilon : C^{1,\alpha}_{-}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right) \longrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right).$$

Then Proposition 17 implies that

$$\left\|\left(D\tilde{F}_\varepsilon(0)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha}\cdot C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} = C.$$

By Proposition 18, for $\|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \le \delta_0$ and any $V \in C^{1,\alpha}_-\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)$,

$$\left\|\left(D\tilde{F}_\varepsilon\left(V_0\right) - D\tilde{F}_\varepsilon\left(0\right)\right)V\right\|_{C^\alpha\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} \|V_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \|V\|_{C^{1,\alpha}_-\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)}.$$

For $F\left(V\right) = T_V \circ \left(\exp V\right)^* \tau$, fixing a volume form on $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ we can regard $F\left(V\right)$ a section of $TM|_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\left(0\right)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, TM\right)} &= \|\varphi^*\tau\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, TM\right)} \\
&= C\left\|\left(\varphi^*\tau\right)\left(0,z\right) + \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(\varphi^*\tau\right)\left(s,z\right)ds\right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, TM\right)} \\
&= C\left\|\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\varphi^*\tau\left(s,z\right)ds\right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon, TM\right)} \le C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}, \qquad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\varphi^*\tau\right)\left(0,z\right) = 0$ is because $\varphi\left(0 \times \Sigma\right)$ is J_n-holomorphic, and the last inequality is because for

$$H\left(x_1, z\right) := \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\varphi^*\tau\left(s,z\right)ds$$

where $f\left(s,z\right) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\varphi^*\tau\left(s,z\right)$ is smooth on $\left[0,\varepsilon\right] \times \Sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H\|_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon\right)} &\le \int_0^\varepsilon \|f\|_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon\right)} ds = \|f\|_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon\right)}\varepsilon, \\
\left[H\right]^z_{\alpha;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} &\le \int_0^\varepsilon \left[f\right]^z_{\alpha;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} ds = \left[f\right]^z_{\alpha;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\varepsilon, \\
\left[H\right]^{x_1}_{\alpha;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon} &\le C\left[H\right]^{x_1}_{1;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \le \|f\|_{C^0\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon\right)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

(Here $\left[f\right]^z_{\alpha;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$, $\left[f\right]^{x_1}_{\alpha;\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon}$ are the Schauder components of f in the z and x_1 directions of $\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon$). Note that (83) implies that the tangent space of $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ is $\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$-close to be associative.

By our construction

$$F_\varepsilon\left(V\right) = *_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon} \circ \perp_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon} \circ F\left(V\right),$$

where $*_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon} \circ \perp_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon}$ is a bounded linear operator and T_V is a parallel transport, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{F}_\varepsilon\left(0\right)\right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} &\le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} \|F\left(0\right)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}_\varepsilon, N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon/M}\right)} \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} = C\varepsilon^{1-\left(\frac{3}{p}+3\alpha\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\left\| D\tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right)^{-1}\right\| \left\| \tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right)\right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\frac{6}{p}-5\alpha}.$$

Note we have choosen that $0 < \frac{3}{p} + 3\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ (say $\alpha = 1/12$ and $p > 12$) in the beginning, so $1 - \frac{6}{p} - 5\alpha > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| D\tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right)^{-1}\right\| \left\| \tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right)\right\|_{C^{0,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon},\mathbb{S}\right)} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\left(\frac{3}{p}+3\alpha\right)}\varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{3}{p}+2\alpha\right)} = C\varepsilon^{1-\frac{6}{p}-5\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Theorem 21 then implies that there is a unique $V_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma\left(N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_{\varepsilon}/M}\right)$ with

$$\left\| V_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}\left(\mathtt{A}'_{\varepsilon},N^{top}_{\mathtt{A}'_{\varepsilon}/M}\right)} \leq 2C\varepsilon^{1-\left(\frac{3}{p}+3\alpha\right)} \tag{84}$$

that solves $\tilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right) = 0$, i.e. $F_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right) = 0$. Note that (83) and (84) together imply that the tangent space of $\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is $\varepsilon^{1-\left(\frac{3}{p}+3\alpha\right)}$-close to be associative. By Proposition 8, for almost associative submanifolds $\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right)$,

$$F_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow F\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right) = 0,$$

while the latter means that $\mathtt{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is associative.

Finally, we obtain our main result:

Theorem 22 *Suppose that M is a G_2-manifold and C_t is an one parameter family of coassociative submanifolds in M. Suppose that the self-dual two form $\eta = dC_t/dt|_{t=0} \in \Omega^2_+\left(C\right)$ is nonvanishing, then it defines an almost complex structure J on C_0.*

For any regular J-holomorphic curve Σ in C_0, there is an instanton A_{ε} in M which is diffeomorphic to $[0,\varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ and $\partial A_{\varepsilon} \subset C_0 \cup C_{\varepsilon}$, for all sufficiently small positive ε.

In particular, by combining Taubes' result on GW=SW [32][33][34] with the above theorem we have the following existence result and proof is left to interested readers.

Corollary 23 *Suppose that C is a coassociative submanifold in a G_2-manifold M with non-trivial Seiberg-Witten invariants. Given any symplectic form η on C, we write C_t's the corresponding deformations of C in M such that $\eta = dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$. Then there is an instanton A_t in M with boundaries lying on $C_0 \cup C_t$ for each sufficiently small t.*

Finally, we expect that any instanton A in M bounding $C_0 \cup C_t$ and with small volume must arise in the above manner. Namely we need to prove a ε-regularity result for instantons.

A few remarks are in order: First, counting such small instantons is basically a problem in four manifold theory because of Bryant's result [6] which says that the zero section C in $\Lambda^2_+(C)$ is always a coassociative submanifold for an incomplete G_2-metric on its neighborhood provided that the bundle $\Lambda^2_+(C)$ is topologically trivial. Second, when η has zeros, the above Theorem 22 should still hold true. However using the present approach to prove it would require a good understanding of the Seiberg-Witten theory on any four manifold with a degenerated symplectic form as in Taubes program. But at least for regular J_η-holomorphic curves Σ in C_0 that is *away from the zero locus* of η, the instantons $\mathtt{A}'_\varepsilon$ in Theorem 22 still exist nearby $\Sigma \subset M$, for our gluing analysis only involves the local geometry of Σ in M. Third, if we do not restrict to instantons of small volume, then we have to take into account the compactification of the moduli of instantons which has not been established yet, e.g. *bubbling* phenomenon as for pseudo-holomorphic curves, and gluing of instantons of big and small volumes similar to [30] in Floer trajectory case. Nevertheless, one would expect that if the volume of $\mathtt{A}_t$'s are small, then bubbling cannot occur, thus they would converge to a J_η-holomorphic curve in C_0.

References

[1] B. S. Acharya, B. Spence, *Supersymmetry and M theory on 7-manifolds,* [hep-th/0007213].

[2] S. Akbulut, S. Salur, *Deformations in G_2 manifolds,* Advances in Mathematics Volume 217, Issue 5, 20 March 2008, p2130-2140

[3] M. Aganagic, C. Vafa, *Mirror Symmetry and a G_2 Flop,* [hep-th/0105225].

[4] C. Beasley, E. Witten, *A Note on Fluxes and Superpotentials in M-theory Compactifications on Manifolds of G_2 Holonomy*, Journal of High Energy Physics, Volume 2002, JHEP07(2002)

[5] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators,* Springer; 1st edition (February 12, 2004)

[6] R. Bryant, *Calibrated embeddings in the special Lagrangian and coassociative cases.* Special issue in memory of Alfred Gray (1939–1998). Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 18 (2000), no. 3-4, 405–435.

[7] B. Booss-Bavnbek and K. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic Boundary Problems For Dirac Operators,* Birkhäuser (1993).

[8] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis,* Springer-Verlag (1985).

[9] K. Fukaya, Y.G. Oh, *Zero-loop open strings in the cotangent bundle and Morse homotopy.* Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 1, 96–180.

[10] K. Fukaya, Y.G. Oh, H. Ohta, K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory - anomoly and obstruction*, International Press.

[11] D. Gay, R. Kirby, *Constructing symplectic forms on 4-manifolds which vanish on circles,* Geometry & Topology, Vol 8 (2004), 743-777

[12] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order,* 2nd Edition, Springer Verlag (1983)

[13] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *M-theory and topological strings - II,* [hep-th/9812127].

[14] A. Gray, *Vector cross products on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (1969) 465-504.

[15] D. Gayet, *Smooth moduli spaces of associative submanifolds,* arXive/DG/1011.1744

[16] D. Gayet, F. Witt, *Deformations of associative submanifolds with boundary,* Adv. Math. 226 (2011), 2351-2370

[17] R. Harvey, B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47-157.

[18] H.A. Harvey, G. Moore, *Superpotentials and membrane instantons,* [hep-th/9907026].

[19] N. Hitchin, *The geometry of three forms in 6 and 7 dimensions, J. Differential Geom. 55 (2000), no. 3,* 547–576.

[20] K. Honda, *An openness theorem for harmonic 2-forms on 4-manifolds.* Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), no. 3, 479–495.

[21] D. Joyce, *On counting special Lagrangian homology 3-spheres,* Topology and geometry: commemorating SISTAG, 125–151, Contemp. Math., 314, A.M.S., 2002.

[22] J.H. Lee and N.C. Leung, *Geometric structures on G_2 and Spin(7)-manifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. (2009)13, no. 1, 1-31.

[23] J.H. Lee and N.C. Leung, *Instantons and Branes in Manifolds with Vector Cross Product ,* Asian Journal of Math. 2009.

[24] N.C. Leung, *Riemannian Geometry over different normed division algebras*, J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 2, 289–333.

[25] N.C. Leung, *Topological Quantum Field Theory for Calabi-Yau threefolds and G_2-manifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 6 (2002), no. 3, 575–591.

[26] R.C. McLean, *Deformation of Calibrated Submanifolds,* Commun. Analy. Geom. **6** (1998) 705-747.

[27] M. Movshev, *The structure of a symplectic manifold on the space of loops of 7-manifold*, [math.SG/9911100].

[28] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology,* Colloquim Publications, vol 52, AMS, Providence RI, 2004.

[29] L. Nicolaescu, *Notes on Seiberg-Witten Theory,* American Mathematical Society (October 3, 2000).

[30] Y. Oh, K. Zhu, *Thick-thin decomposition of Floer trajectories and adiabatic gluing,* arXive/SG/1103.3525

[31] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror Symmetry is T-Duality*, Nuclear Physics **B479** (1996) 243-259.

[32] C.Taubes, *Gr=>SW From pseudo-holomorphic curves to Seiberg-Witten solutions, J. Differential Geom. 51 (1999), no. 2, 203–334.*

[33] C. Taubes, *The geometry of the Seiberg-Witten invariants.* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998). Doc. Math. 1998, Extra Vol. II, 493–504.

[34] C. Taubes, *Seiberg-Witten invariants and pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for self-dual, harmonic 2-forms.* Geom. Topol. 3 (1999), 167–210.

[35] E. Witten, *Chern-Simons gauge theory as a string theory,* The Floer memorial volume, 637–678, Progr. Math., 133, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

Addresses:
Naichung Conan Leung
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
Email: leung@math.cuhk.edu.hk

Xiaowei Wang
Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University-Newark, Newark, NJ 07102
Email: xiaowwan@rutgers.edu

Ke Zhu
Department of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455
Email: zhux0086@math.umn.edu